NATIONAL WEEKLY

Social Justice

Founded 1936 by Father Coughlin

Royal Oak, Michigan | November 27, 1939 | Subscription: Three Dollars per Year | 10c

FATHER COUGHLIN'S MESSAGE TO LABOR

—See Story on Page 4



BROWDER TELLS PUBLIC WHAT COMMUNISTS ARE ABOUT TO DO TO U. S.

EMBOLDENED by this country's mild reaction to Josef Stalin's call for world revolution, the "stooges" of the Moscow kremlin now tell the American people exactly what we are in for.

Stalin gave the order, Molotov issued it, Earl Browder translated it into English and Oumansky returns to Soviet Russia's U.S. embassy *to see that it is carried out.*

"Our chances are better than the Bolsheviks had in Russia," Browder tells the Reds, and they believe him.

Confident that Americans are too dumb and too helplessly divided to do anything about it, and confident that the Roosevelt government is "in the bag," the Communist Party boldly outlines its "new order" for America.

Here is what we are in for:

1. Opposition political parties will be "liquidated," as they were in Russia. Thirteen non-Communist Parties survived the Russian revolution to be disposed of one by one as they took up arms against the Communists.

2. The schools will "be readjusted to the needs of the people (i.e., the Communists) instead of the capitalists (i.e., all non-Communists)." SOCIAL JUSTICE has been telling the story of this process in New York schools, week after week.

3. Christianity in the United States will be abolished. Browder says "Religion will be purged of most of its leaders" and that only religious institutions "which could be shown in public debate as not a social menace" to the new system would be permitted to survive. *After Spain and Mexico, do you still think the American Communists are fooling?*

4. Industry, national economy, property and profits will be confiscated by the Communist government.

5. Since the press is a "great industry" it, too, will be taken over. All pretext of a free press will be replaced by an official party-controlled paper like *Izvestia* or the *Daily Worker.*

And this is what the Communists believe they are ready to achieve TOMORROW. The challenge to America is unmistakable:

Earl Browder should be jailed for treason to the United States of America.

The Communist Party should be outlawed at once, as the agency of an unfriendly foreign government at work in this country.

And diplomatic "recognition" of Soviet Russia — made in the first place without either the knowledge or approval of the American people —should be withdrawn immediately.

Earl Browder tells the Reds that they have a better chance in America than they had in Czarist Russia. Well, haven't they? What are YOU doing about it? Anwer that question honestly and you may realize just how close the revolution really is.

THE FIGHT BETWEEN IMPERIALISMS
By Dr. J. S. Barnes

★

ON THE RED FRONT: W. P. A. and RADIO

★

JOSEPH STRAWMAN, MANUFACTURER
By Frank Conley

DINNER CHAT
By the Staff

Our Civilization Like Ancient Rome

A SERMON preached by the Rev. Father Joseph A. Tytheridge, at St. Patrick's cathedral, New York City, on the Feast of Christ the King, warns that our civilization, like that of ancient Rome, will fall unless it accepts Christ's teaching.

"A man is a man whether today or 2,000 years ago," said Father Tytheridge. "We think our age is ultra-modern and that Christ is a bit outmoded.

"But the truth is that the civilization of Christ's day was not a primitive civilization, nor was it a virtuous one. Rome was a well developed, well organized empire. Religion was tolerated and dominated by the State. There was a statue of the Emperor in every temple. We find that the people of that day were ultra-modern and as sophisticated as people today. Divorce was rampant and free love much encouraged. Slavery was the reason for that. Anybody who had the money and desired beauty could go down to the slave market and satisfy his wish. A man had not one home but many homes with a consort in each. The government admitted all gods because it did not believe in any.

"We have today millionaires and those who rule by the power of money. There was Big Business in the Roman Empire, too.

"Into this world came Christ with a doctrine that man was made to the image and likeness of God, to know, love and serve Him in this life and be happy with Him in the next. Christ's doctrine is no more ancient today than it was then. But it was rejected then and it is rejected today. It is turned down by many because it is a bit hard to take. It requires too much.

"If the world rejects Christ the King because it feels this age is too modern, too far in advance of what Christ taught, let it be understood that Christ brings His doctrine once more to modern civilization and only Christ and His doctrine will save this civilization from absolute ruin, whether civilization recognizes it or not."

President Controls All Radio Now

IN AN OPEN LETTER addressed to a group of Senators, Mr. Frank Gannett, the New York publisher, incorporated some pertinent thoughts about radio and censorship.

Among other things he says:

"Let me call attention to Section 606 (c) of the *Communications Act of 1934*. This section provides that, upon the proclamation by the President of a national emergency, he, the President, may censor or forbid radio discussion of any subject or subjects whatever. And, what is more, he may close down any or all broadcasting companies which may displease him, seize their plants and facilities, and operate them as a Government agency controlled and directed by himself.

"This extraordinary act was passed during the era when White House 'must bills' were being rushed through Congress without adequate discussion, and sometimes even without being read by many Senators and Congressmen who voted for them. At that time the country was in a confused and panicky condition. And it seemed to have been willing to comply with Mr. Roosevelt's demand for supreme authority, even though such authority were unnecessary, or unconstitutional, or of a kind that was incompatible with the traditions and practice of democratic government.

"I quote from section 606 (c) of the *Communications Act of June, 1934:*

" 'Upon proclamation by the President that there exists war or a threat of war, of a state of public peril or disaster or other national emergency, or in order to preserve the neutrality of the United States, the President may suspend or amend, for such time as he may see fit, the rules and regulations applicable to any or all stations within the jurisdiction of the United States as prescribed by the Commission, and may cause the closing of any station for radio communication and the removal therefrom of its apparatus and equipment, or he may authorize the use or control of any such station and/or its apparatus and equipment by any department of the Government under such regulations as he may prescribe, upon just compensation to the owners.'

Can Take Over or Censor Stations

"What is the meaning of this section? It means that ever since September 8, when a national emergency was proclaimed, the President holds, to exercise at his discretion, life and death power over every broadcasting station. I wonder whether the radio audiences of this country are aware that the programs which come to them are selected by radio executives who know that, should these programs offend the Government, their stations may be censored or even taken over by the President?

"In a word this act, together with the President's emergency proclamation making it operative, in effect abrogate the constitutional guarantee of free speech for these companies and all people who may speak over the radio. It is true that free speech is not essential in order to discuss, we will say, the multiplication table. But it is utterly essential when controversial questions are being debated and fear and passion have been aroused. It is only too clear the present law puts in jeopardy that thorough and fearless discussion of national issues, whether political or economic, which is so necessary to the welfare and peace of a people living under democratic institutions.

Has Power to Silence Critics

"At this moment, when the country is deciding issues which place in the balance the lives and happiness of millions of American citizens, the President under the *Communications Act of 1934* may, if he chooses, prevent all adverse criticism of the course he desires to take. And, on the other hand, he may saturate the public mind exclusively with those particular arguments or facts that support his Administration.

"I think you will agree that the powers conferred on the President by the *Communications Act of 1934* are the same powers with which Adolf Hitler is keeping the German people in the dark. They are the powers that Stalin and Mussolini exercise over the radio in Russia and Italy. I cannot believe that, with the country confronted by the situation existing today, in a world in which swollen executive power and totalitarian philosophy are running wild, and putting democracy and free speech on the defensive, the people of America would tolerate the provisions in Section 606 (c) if they knew they were on the statute books."



Pal Goes to a Feather Party

HELLO FOLKS:

An' how you all this fine November day? Well 'n happy, I hope, an' fully rekuperated from y'r big Thanksgivin' Day dinner. Dear me! I hope you didn't feel as miseruble as I did after my meal. Reely, folks, I didn't have enuf energy t'even wag my tail 'r play with th' Boss, who was feelin' in a pertikurly happy mood that day. All I wanted t'do was to lie on my comfy little mat 'n doze 'n dream — which I did. I haven't heard whether Mary is plannin' to celebrate the reg'lar Thanksgivin' Day, but if she does decide to, I'm already f'r it! I guess I'm just a glutton at heart, after all. Oh, well—these days 're few 'n far between, so I guess we c'n stand 'em.

Thanksgivin' Day over with, 'n there's Christmas t'look forward to. Glory be! How time does fly! I guess I'll haffta start thinkin' 'bout what I'll put in my letter to Santy—y'can be sure I'll not be orderin' enny new brothers 'r sisters! Pete's quite enuf f'r me. Which reminds me, Pete has a birthday comin' up soon—he'll be one year old December 20th. One whole year has he been on this earth, 'n for more'n half uv it he's been pesterin' me. I hope he shows some improvement next year. I think when I write t' Santy I'll ask f'r a bag uv marbles for Pete—I don't think he's got all his, th' way he acts sometimes. I guess it's not very nice uv me t'say things like that, but he does get my goat, folks! I don't know how Mother Coughlin stands him—'r maybe he behaves himself 'round her better than he does at the rektry. I hope so, f'r her sake.

But enuf uv my famly trubbles—y'mus' be tired uv hearing 'bout Pete 'n me scrappin'. We'll haffta have an Armistice Day too, I guess, 'r some sort uv peace pact that'll reely work. Maybe you folks c'n tell me how t' settul our feud.

* * *

I think kongratulations are in order f'r th' winners uv th' contest that just ended, don't you, folks? Gee, but they must feel awful happy over their good luck. It's too bad y'all couldn't win—but it just couldn't be, that's all. But don't give up—you'll have annuther chance t'win, cause I understan' a new contest is gonna be run in SOCIAL JUSTICE. Be sure 'n get in on th' ground floor, folks—it pays.

* * *

Well, we had a grand time at th' feather party the ushers gave las' week. Yes, I got a chance t' see what was goin' on, after all. The Boss went over f'r a few minnuts 'n everbuddy insisted that he bring me in, too. I was reely kinda glad, 'cause I'd never seen a party like that before, but I felt kinda silly, too, with all th' peepul lookin' at me 'n tryin' t'pat me. I guess everybuddy in th' parish was there, 'n lottsa peepul I'd never seen before, too, 'n everyone was havin' a swell time. As I said before, we didn't stay but a minnut 'r two, so I can't give y' all the details, but there sure was enuf livestock 'round there f'r prizes. Y'd think y'were on a farm, if y' didn't know better.

The Boss made a nice littul speech 'n thanked all who worked so hard t'make the party a success, 'n he jollied a bit with a few peepul who came up t'see him, 'n off we went—he had some work t'do that jus' couldn't wait.

Y'know they've moved the deadline up one day, so all th' copy for SOCIAL JUSTICE hasta be in a day earlier than it used t'be, all uv which puts the krimps in our social life until we c'n get our work organized t'suit the change.

This was done so's the distributors would get their papers a day earlier 'n have more time t' dispose uv them. We think this will help th' circulation problum that's been botherin' us f'r some time. If you folks have enny other ideas that might help out, please send them along — we'll be mighty glad t'have 'em.

Which remin's me—before I sign off with this bit uv ramblin' that I've done t'day, I want t'thank you folks f'r respondin' so well t'my tale uv woe these past few weeks. Th' subskripshuns have been comin' in reel well. 'n I'm mighty proud uv ya, 'n grateful t'ya, too. I know that it meant work 'n sacrafice t'help out th' way y'did, 'n y' can bet y'r bottom dollar we'll do our best t'be worthy uv y'r fine koperashun. Thanks heaps 'n heaps for th' encouragement.

—PAL

SUBSCRIPTION COUPON Date .....

Name .....

Address ..... City .....

State ..... Subscription rate, $3 a year. Renewal ☐ New ☐

SOCIAL JUSTICE, National Weekly. Copyrighted 1939 by The Social Justice Publishing Company; publication and editorial office, Royal Oak, Michigan. Subscription price, United States and possessions, $3.00 per year. Unless otherwise directed, all subscriptions begin with the current issue. Three weeks are required to effect change of subscriber's address. Give both old and new addresses. Entered as second class matter, March 13, 1937, at the Post Office, Royal Oak, Michigan, under the Act of March 3, 1879. E. Perrin Schwartz, Editor.

SOCIAL JUSTICE is fully protected by copyright and nothing that appears in it may be reprinted, either wholly or in part, without special permission. The use of articles or quotations from SOCIAL JUSTICE for digest, advertising, promotions and stock-selling schemes is never authorized.

Vol. 4A November 27, 1939 No. 22

Stalin's War

Christian Nations Stiffen Against Moscow's Marauders

U. S. Workers Do Not Swallow Browder's 'Quick Transition'

On November 7th, Czar Stalin called on the workers of the world to revolt. Last week, the Third International continued its broadsides, but found unexpected resistance from the world's Christians.

Finland refused to be bull-dozed. After the Helsinki delegates had left the kremlin without signing over their harbors to the Soviet navy, the Moscow radio hit the all-time high for hysteria—it ranted against Finland's weather man for his alleged failure to inform Moscow of winds which "caused a rising of the River Neva," with consequent flooding of Leningrad and other parts of northern Russia!

The Balkans dropped most of their family quarrels and began forming a solid block, supported by Turkey and Italy, to resist Russian aggression. In his first belligerent speech since the European war started, Mussolini called new men to the colors and warned Russia to keep out of Southeastern Europe.

Pope Circumvents Stalin's Stooge

On the home front, Earl Browder amplified his plans for "a quick transition" to Communism and announced the party was trying to raise $150,000 for the cause. America's workers did not, however, start a march on Washington and Mr. Browder tried a diversion by assailing the present Pontiff's first world encyclical as an instrument of Fascism.

Yet even as he spoke, Pius XII was addressing a supplementary letter—specifically to the hierarchy in the United States—warning against the abuses of power and calling for greater social justice for the workers.

Though Mr. Browder persisted in assailing the administration and demanding that the form of our Government be changed by a "quick transition," he was not prosecuted for sedition. It is true that a second indictment charging violation of the passport laws was found against him—but meanwhile he remained out on bail, trying to raise funds for the revolution.

We repeat:

Browder should be jailed for treason.

The Communist Party, boastful agent of an unfriendly foreign power, must be outlawed.

Soviet Russia — first recognized by this administration without approval of the people and now plotting to overthrow this Government —must be put beyond the pale of diplomatic intercourse.

Not until then will it be possible for us to see the issues clearly and deal with vital domestic problems as we have the legal and reasonable right to deal with them.



—Ross Lewis in the Milwaukee Journal

A New Plot to Keep Roosevelt in Power?

(By Special Correspondent)

WASHINGTON, D. C. — A new element has been injected into the Third Term situation. Heretofore capital gossip has followed a pretty regular schedule in regard to the President's refusal to commit himself—that is, it has varied between excited assertions that Mr. Roosevelt is plotting to perpetuate himself in office and the calm view of realists that he is playing a game that all successful Presidents have played more or less: getting ready to quit office against the *apparent* wishes of the Party.

George Washington so retired, and just as every little boy would like to become President, so every President would like to be able to retire in a Washingtonian aura of self-abnegation. However, we are now hearing rumors that Mr. Roosevelt's friends have concocted a still better way out for him. The story is that, in the event of the next Executive being a Democrat — which the New Dealers naturally assume — Mr. Roosevelt would take a Cabinet post. He would become Secretary of State or exert his influence from his old stamping ground, the Navy.

Such an appointment would be without precedent. Its closest analogies were when ex-President John Quincy Adams became a Representative, and Andrew Johnson a Senator. In effect, Mr. Roosevelt would still be President, completely overshadowing the gentleman with the title. That he is too young and vigorous to be retired as an elder statesman, which his friends contend, may be true. But it is incontrovertible that a Cabinet containing Mr. Roosevelt would be a Roosevelt Cabinet—that in effect *we would be living under a third Roosevelt administration.*

Danger Signals For U. S. in the East Indies

WASHINGTON, D. C. — Nothing has so perturbed the White House recently as the prospect of a German attack on the Netherlands. This is not because the moral administration has a special love for the plucky, industrious, Christian Dutch farmers. It is because, encouraged by a successful *blitzkrieg* against Holland, the Japanese might send their navy to "keep order" in the Netherlands' great island empire of the East Indies.

America imports vital tin and rubber from Java, Sumatra, and Borneo and from adjacent British Malaya—and Mr. Roosevelt was restrained with difficulty from sending Hitler a demand that he keep hands off Holland.

Past and Present

Now that the animalists of Moscow have made clear their intentions we have time to catch our breath, reflect, read, delve into past facts. Thus looking at random through the records of the years we find gems like the following. It is part of a speech delivered by Czar Stalin before the Third International executive committee in May, 1938. Not in 1939—in 1938

* * *

"The revival of revolutionary action on any scale sufficiently vast will not be possible unless we succeed in utilizing the existing disagreements between the capitalistic countries, so as to precipitate them against each other in armed conflict.

* * *

"The doctrine of Marx - Engels - Lenin teaches us that all war truly generalized should terminate automatically by revolution.

* * *

"The essential work of our party comrades in foreign countries consists, then, in facilitating the provocation of such a conflict."

* * *

"Those who do not comprehend this, know nothing of revolutionary Marxism. I hope that you will remind the comrades, those of you who do the work.

* * *

"The decisive hour will arrive."

* * *

Thus spake the Anti-christ and thus it came to pass. And now, dear children, the decisive hour has arrived.

* * *

Are you going to believe those purblind moralists who would take the Bolsheviks to their bosoms and kiss them into loyal Americanism —or are you going to take the reiterated, perfectly plain word of the Bolsheviks' boss, Stalin, and their American working comrade, Browder?

* * *

There is much to be said against England's Fascist, Moseley, but his paper often hits the nail on the head. In this case it points out that "the Tories are chattering a lot" about whether Russia will give Hitler the double-double-cross, then postulates:

* * *

"(1) If Stalin goes through with Hitler to the end, it means sooner or later a Russian attack on our Eastern Empire. (2) Supposing on the other hand, that Russia worked the double-double-cross on Hitler and succeeded—that would mean a solid Communist block from Siberia to the Rhine.

* * *

"Think, Tory, think — that is, if you can. There is just one other possibility, but that would not please you either. So why not put a wet towel round your head for a day or two, old Tory—and then, if it works, stop this war!"

* * *

The "other possibility," of course, is what Working Comrade Browder is today advocating for the United States: a "quick transition" to Soviet regimentation and terrorism. Think, children, think.

FROM THE TOWER

Labor, Capital and Class Co-operation

Discussion by Father Coughlin before 3,000 auto workers and their friends at the Shrine of the Little Flower in Royal Oak, Michigan, on Thursday evening, November 16th. (Copyright. All rights of reproduction reserved.)

LADIES AND GENTLEMEN:

We have assembled here this evening for the purpose of attempting to contribute some positive thought —some positive suggestions—which can be used, if understood, to help settle the industrial strike which is threatening to disrupt America. . . .

For many years I have been a proponent of the principles of social justice. For many years I have been contending that neither this nation nor any other nation can prosper and proceed along the pathways of peace unless its various classes of citizens are just, one towards the other. A nation is composed, socially speaking, of various classes of citizens, all of which classes are needed for the nation to function. No nation can operate without an agricultural, a mining and a fisherman class: it is this class which produces the raw materials basically required for all other activities.

No nation can operate without the laboring class which contributes so much to convert these raw materials from a raw state into a useable state for consumption.

No nation can operate without a service and professional class which, roundly speaking, consists of scientists, inventors, executives, men of commerce, of finance, of law—that group of citizens which serves either intellectually or spiritually or physically for the common good of all.

Each class is essential for the well-being of the entire state and the well-being of every other class.

No state can operate successfully without all these classes, for, after all, each class is like a link in a chain: and the entire chain is no sounder than its weakest link.

Social justice teaches these simple truths. It insists that one class of society must be just to another class of society and that all classes of society must pull together and work harmoniously on the principle that if one link breaks or is weakened almost to the point of breaking, the entire social structure will suffer.

Social Justice Means Class Co-operation

In our day, we are accustomed to speak of capital and labor in referring to the division of the various classes of society. That is why the great Leo XIII said *"capital needs labor and labor needs capital."* One cannot get along without the other. That is why I have explained the meaning of social justice by likening each class of society to a part of the human body—the arms representing labor, the legs representing transportation, the brain representing the sciences and professions, the stomach representing the consumer, etc. Just as a well-ordered human body needs all its faculties, organs and parts, so a well-ordered state needs all the various classes of citizens. Just as a man needs a body and a body needs a soul, so society needs labor and labor needs capital. Class struggle, class hatred, and class antagonism—these, then, are just as irrational and senseless in the social structure as it would be insane for the hand to pluck out the eye or for the brain to conspire against the stomach.

In one word, social justice implies class co-operation. Social justice implies that all classes work not only each for itself, motivated by individual and class profit, but that each class works for all other classes motivated by justice and the common welfare.

With the inventions of Fulton and Arkwright together with their contemporaries and successors, mass productionism came into being in the 19th Century and developed to the high estate that it enjoys today. Unfortunately, the ideas of social justice to which I have referred were not accepted and developed at this same time. The idea of co-operation among all the classes was more remarkable by its absence than by its practice. While power machinery enabled the laboring men to produce ten and twenty and thirty more units of finished work than was possible to be produced by hand-powered tools, the unsocial error of individualism taught that the profits derived from all this production should accrue to the owners of the factory and of the power-tools which the laboring class did not own. As a result, wealth was accumulated in the hands of a few; there was little private property in the hands of the workers; and, as a result, the consumers, whose number is associated more with the laboring class and the agricultural class, were not able to purchase and assimilate the products of the factory.

Unions Follow Principle of Class Solidarity . . .

During this period—the 19th Century and the early years of the 20th Century—the laboring and agricultural classes, although they represented the majority of citizens in our nation, were not organized.

They suffered and complained but succeeded in making very little headway against the greed, the individualism and the profiteering of the capitalist class. In one word, the laboring class lacked solidarity.

Social justice teaches that each class should enjoy solidarity, or unionization. It bases this contention on the same principle that all the parts of the human hand, for example, should be unionized: The finger, each intact, should be attached to the main portion of the hand; each cell in each finger should co-operate with its fellow cells if there is health to be found in the fingers or hand.

For approximately 50 years the American Federation of Labor has preached this principle of unionization, of solidarity. The efforts of this organization, however, were expended only upon the trades, the skilled artisans. Its efforts were not expended upon the mass productionists, the great mass of factory workers. Therefore, while much was accomplished, much was unaccomplished by the American Federation of Labor. Approximately 3-million out of 35-million laborers were organized; and the vast mass of mass production labor was left in a hopeless, disorganized state.

Eventually the C.I.O. was brought into being chiefly for the purpose of organizing the mass productionists, the factory workers and the unskilled millions of laborers. That was a forward step. That was a necessary step.

Every sane person applauds the advertised principles which were announced at the birth of the C.I.O.

Social justice absolutely and unconditionally supports such an organization whose main objective is to organize, or unionize the disorganized individuals destined to earn their bread by the sweat of their brow in the fields of mass production; for, may I repeat, among other things, that while we maintain that there must be class co-operation we equally maintain that there must be class solidarity. The two principles must stand side by side. There can be no efficient administration of social justice without the practice of both these principles; for, unfortunately, human nature is so constructed that one class of society is prone to exploit another class of society unless checks and balances are instituted. The capitalist class, despite its best intentions, has been, is and will be prone to exploit the laboring class unless there are checks and balances to guarantee class co-operation.

Moreover, no class can operate efficiently, reasonably and orderly unless within that class there is solidarity—solidarity not for the purpose of elevating one class above the other, thereby begetting domination either of the capitalist class or of the laboring class in the affairs of the state but a sane solidarity by which the class in question holds all of its members together by the bonds of sane principles—principles which abhor class dictatorship; principles which accept class co-operation; and principles which are in harmony with the highest principle that was ever enunciated to the children of men by God Himself—a principle sometimes referred to as the Brotherhood of Man through the Fatherhood of God.

Social Justice Must Be Christian

Before proceeding further, may I interrupt the train of thought for a moment to insist upon this point, namely, that there is only one kind of social justice. It is *Christian social justice.* May I emphasize this belief, namely, that any union, any organization of labor or of capital which either neglects or rejects the basic truths of Christian justice and charity is doomed from the outset to inevitable failure. Eventually every principle — be it the principle of solidarity or of unionism—gets back to a first principle, just the same as the last link of a chain gets back to the first link, which is anchored to the never changing divine truths of God. If the link of class co-operation and class solidarity is not attached to the Christian principle of Christian brotherhood; if the union and its principles neglect to weld the connecting link of its principles and activities to God, the fundamental rock of all our social life, labor organizers and politicians, industrialists and all citizens are beating the wind with a futile and fierce fury. In one word, both capital and labor must recognize that whatsoever we do unto the least of Christ's little ones we do unto Him. They must appreciate the dignity of their fellowman as a brother of Christ. They must accept either the ultimate spiritual, Christian concept of human beings or else be satisfied to accept the materialistic concept thereof and be prepared to see their efforts perish miserably as all things material will perish.

* * *

And now back to our theme.

Before the Wagner Labor Act was passed—an Act which legalized the unionization of mass-production labor and the right to collective bargaining—before ever this Act was passed, I had been campaigning over the radio for just such a measure. Many of you here present remember this, and the printed records of my speeches confirm it. I am happy to have devoted many hours and weeks, months and years, in advocating the principles of class co-operation and class solidarity; I am happy to have preached the doctrine of Christian social justice, which means justice for and amongst all classes of society based upon the principles expounded by Jesus Christ.

Moreover, in retrospect I look back to a mass meeting held at Belle Isle, and to another which I addressed at the State Fair Coliseum before the U.A.W.A. was established. On both these occasions I played a part, which many here present know, in helping to organize the union which later on evolved into the U.A.W.A. Of these things I speak not boastfully, but rather in the interests of re-presenting the thoughts which I entertained then and still entertain relative to unionism.

Will Not Withdraw From Stated Position

However, either wisely or unwisely, I was never content merely to advocate that working men of America join a union; I was always insistent that the officers and the activities of the union should be beyond reproach; for no organization can function properly and achieve its objectives if its membership is penalized by inefficient or radical officers who engage in activities which are unsound, unlawful, un-American and un-Christian.

In days gone by I have criticized the policy of engaging Communistic organizers and of electing Communistic leaders to official positions inside the union; and from that position I do not withdraw.

In days gone by I have criticized the outright seizure of property and the use of the *"argumentum ad baculum,"* which means the use of the club and the black-jack, of threats and intimidations, to force men to join a union; *and from that position I do not withdraw.*

In days gone by I have lamented not only the animosity but the open, practiced hostility existing between the A.F. of L. and the C.I.O. which, to my mind, was injurious to the cause of labor in general. And from that position I do not withdraw because this only makes for social injustice rather than for social justice.

In passing, may I refer to one of the thousands of incidents which have occurred as a result of this hostility. I refer to the disabling yesterday of seven trucks belonging to the Harry J. Brickley Creamery Company, which has been picketed by the United Dairy Workers, a C.I.O. affiliate. The Creamery Company signed a contract with the A.F. of L. Detectives said that trucks were ruined. *That is not right!*

In days gone by I voiced my opposition to any policy which would make of the labor union a political party; to any policy by which a union would set up candidates for political office because, to my mind, one of two things would happen to labor as a result of such a policy: Either labor would be responsible for crystallizing the forces of another political party against unionism and thereby militate against class co-operation; or labor, if it should win at the polls, would be taking a first step which tended to lead to a proletarian dictatorship. From that position I do not withdraw.

Record Gives Priest Right to Criticize

To indicate briefly the thought back of these criticisms, may I state plainly that if I have risen to a questionable prominence for having fought in the front-line ranks in be-

half of the poor and the laborer—a position from which I will not recede—I claim the right to criticize what I think are the unreasonable and unsound and radical leaders and policies and activities imposed upon labor in general when, after due consideration, I have come to the conclusion that such leaders, policies and activities are harmful to labor.

If, perchance, I receive the applause of the mass-production workers for having advocated the principles of unionism and for having contributed anything to the cause of labor when it was disorganized, I trust that God will continue to grant me courage to fight for those principles — these Christian, American principles—and to give me strength to bear any stripes and any crown of thorns which I will receive as a result of standing steadfastly by my principles when I find occasion to criticize.

It is not difficult when, at one period, the multitude shouts their alleluias—it is not difficult for the recipient to smile and to go along with the crowd. I hope it will not be difficult, if necessary, for me to accept the shouts of *"Crucify him! Give us Barabbas!"* When I refuse to go along with those who, by their silence, condone the seizure of property, acclaim class warfare and give their benediction to the Pontius Pilates and the high priests who are bent upon crucifying the cause of labor.

You will pardon me if these words appear to be emotional. I am simply trying to state that I prefer if necessary, to be on the side of a righteous minority rather than on the side of a clamorous majority.

Never Opposed to C.I.O. Principles

Ladies and gentlemen, from what I have just said I implore you not to misconstrue my meaning. I am not opposed to the advertised principles of the C.I.O.; these I support and will continue to support. But I am opposed to certain practices of the C.I.O. which I am endeavoring to correct for the welfare of labor and independent of what the personal cost may be to myself. I will continue to insist that unless the principles of Christian justice and charity dominate the C.I.O., dominate the minds of its leaders and dominate its activities, the C.I.O. is doomed to failure no matter what prominent persons applaud it and no matter what masses of population support it.

But on the other hand, I do not want to be regarded as a rigorist who holds to the opinion that for one sin, for one mistake, or even for a series of sins and mistakes, any individual or organization should be liquidated; for, in God's Providence there is always time for repentance while there is life.

If I may venture to refer to the *Encyclical of Pope Pius XI* on this point—a point from which I will not retrench one step; a point with which I will not compromise one iota—may I quote these words:

"Economic life must be inspired by Christian principles. For this pitiable ruin of souls which, if it continue, will frustrate all efforts to reform society, there can be no other remedy than a frank and sincere return to the teaching of the Gospel. Men must observe anew the precepts of Him who alone has the words of eternal life, words which, even though Heaven and earth be changed, shall not pass away."

To this quotation may I append these other remarks of Pius XI, namely:

"Working men will lay aside all feelings of hatred or envy, which the instigators of social strife arouse so skillfully."

Encyclical Indicts Abuses of Capitalism

You are aware, ladies and gentlemen, of the materialistic forces which were responsible, and in some cases which are still responsible, for the sad plight in which the world finds itself today, and particularly for the sufferings which many millions of our citizens are enduring as a result of the excesses in our economic life. At this juncture I will employ the words of Pius XI in order to escape the responsibility of using my own. While not condemning capitalism as a system but rather the abuses which were perpetrated in its name, this eminent leader said:

". . . It is the capitalist economic regime that, with the world-wide diffusion of industry, has penetrated everywhere, particularly since the publication of Leo XIII's Encyclical. It has invaded and pervaded the economic and social sphere even of those who live outside its ambit, influencing them, and, as it were, intimately affecting them by its advantages, inconveniences and vices.

"When we turn our attention, therefore, to the changes which this capitalistic economic order has undergone since the days of Leo XIII, we have regard to the interests, not of those only who live in countries where 'capital' and industry prevail, but of the whole human race.

"In the first place, then, it is patent that in our days not alone is wealth accumulated, but immense power and despotic economic domination is concentrated in the hands of a few, and that those few are frequently not the owners, but only the trustees and directors of invested funds, who administer them at their good pleasure.

"This power becomes particularly irresistible when exercised by those who, because they hold and control money, are able also to govern credit and determine its allotment, for that reason supplying, so to speak, the life-blood to the entire economic body, and grasping, as it were, in their hands the very soul of production, so that no one dare breathe against their will.

"This accumulation of power, the characteristic note of the modern economic order, is a natural result of limitless free competition which permits the survival of those only who are the strongest, which often means those who fight most relentlessly, who pay least heed to the dictates of conscience.

"This concentration of power has led to a threefold struggle for domination. First, there is the struggle for dictatorship in the economic sphere itself; then, the fierce battle to acquire control of the state, so that its resources and authority may be abused in the economic struggles. Finally, the clash between states themselves.

"This latter arises from two causes: Because the nations apply their power and political influence, regardless of circumstances, to promote the economic advantages of their citizens; and because, vice versa, economic forces and economic domination are used to decide political controversies between peoples.

"You assuredly know, Venerable Brethren and Beloved Children, and you lament the ultimate consequences of this individualistic spirit in economic affairs. Free competition is dead; economic dictatorship has taken its place.

"Unbridled ambition for domination has succeeded the desire for gain, the whole economic life has become hard, cruel and relentless in a ghastly measure. Furthermore, the intermingling and scandalous confusing of the duties and offices of civil authority and of economics has produced crying evils and have gone so far as to degrade the majesty of the state. The state which should be the supreme arbiter, ruling in kingly fashion far above all party contention, intent only upon justice and the common good, has become instead a slave, bound over to the service of human passion and greed. As regards the relations of peoples among themselves, a double stream has issued forth from this one fountainhead on the one hand, economic nationalism or even economic imperialism; on the other, a not less noxious and detestable internationalism or international imperialism in financial affairs, which holds that where a man's fortune is, there is his country."

Those are strong, concrete, courageous words.

Labor Free to Choose Leadership to Follow

International imperialism in financial affairs and economic imperialism —these have been pointed out particularly by His Holiness.

Those of you who have honored me by your presence in this audience are either Christians or non-Christians. If you are Christians you will heed the words of the leader of Christianity. If you are non-Christians, you are free either to contemn them and thereby, indirectly at least, align yourselves under the banner of Communism; or you are free to join us.

You have heard the words of His Holiness castigating a despotic economic domination where wealth and immense power are accumulated and concentrated in the hands of a few; a power which *"becomes particularly irresistible when exercised by those who, because they hold and control money, are able also to govern credit and determine its allotment . . . so that no one dare breathe against their will."*

Nation Eyes Issues in Chrysler Strike

Those of you who have honored me by your presence here tonight, are primarily interested in the Chrysler strike because you consider it a contest between capital and labor, from one point of view, or because, from another, you consider this battle as one being fought on the American industrial front for a just, living wage capable of securing yourselves, together with your wives and your children, in frugal comfort.

Throughout the years I have upheld that Christianity and its teachings alone could remedy the ills of society in general and of industry and labor in particular. Throughout the years I have not only advocated unionism but I have been an exponent of the doctrine that labor, through its bargaining officials, should have some voice in the matter of production which constantly is being speeded up not only through the deft finger of those who control the conveyor lines but also by the inventive brains of those who discover new secrets of engineering; new methods to outmode the paint brush with the spray gun; new devices to cut tons of cloth with power knives; new lathes to multiply production; new presses to fashion bodies; new machinery of every kind.

You laborers can have a voice in the control of production as far as the conveyors are concerned; you can rationalize their speed. But you have no direct control over the intellects, the brains, the engineering ability and the scientific discoveries which have done more to speed up production than all the conveyors in all the factories of all the nations.

While labor should have a voice in the control of production, let labor understand that in one sense this materialistic conveyor control will not solve his problem. Let him understand that the scientists of this nation, together with the engineers and inventors and machinists are not planning to sojourn in the Catskill Mountains of yesterday as did Rip Van Winkle. Let him understand that it is only a demagogue who will tell him that his problem of production control will be solved once he obtains a voice to regulate a conveyor. It is not inconceivable to foresee the day when thousands of men now working in the automotive industry in various occupations will be dismissed permanently as a result of the new devices.

Annual Wage More Important

Consequently, while I deprecate and condemn the physical speed-up of the conveyors, I have gone farther in my endeavor to teach labor the necessity of striving for an ultimate voice over ultimate production and for other redresses against the capitalistic economic system and against the irresistible power of money and credit. I have advocated for those engaged in industry a sharing in the profits, recognizing that the automotive industry, for example, can produce all the motor cars and trucks which our nation can consume over the period of a year—recognizing that these factories equipped with advancing machinery can do this work in a period of eight or nine months. *I have advocated an annual wage so that in the three or four months in which the working men are idle, they and their families will not be deprived of pay envelopes,* they and their families will not be forced to become wards of the state.

Finally, recognizing that the contents of a laborer's pay envelope mean little or nothing when powers outside his direct influence manipulate prices so that food and clothing and shelter are increased beyond his capacity to pay—recognizing this, I have advocated in behalf of labor that those who now, under the capitalist economic regime, hold and control money, who govern credit and determine its allotment—*I have advocated that this power be taken away from them and placed where it belongs, namely, in the hands of Congress.* For Congress alone has the right to coin and regulate the value of money.

Let Congress manufacture money and issue it into the stream of circulation so that there will be plenty of purchasing power. Let Congress remove it from circulation through the agency of taxation so that prices will not be too high. That is what I mean by regulating the purchasing power of money and of prices. That is the program which I preach to you, a program predicated on your right to life, liberty and pursuit of happiness; a program identified with the Christian concept of family life; a program, while not neglecting the voice that you should have in matters of production, goes to the bottom of the causes of your exploitation and demands an annual wage for all those able and willing to work so that they and their families can live in frugal comfort.

Insists on Preaching Entire Encyclical

I am told that I have been accused of misquoting the Encyclical of Pius XI. Since 1931 I was not content to preach merely the unionization of labor for the sake of unionization or for the sake of instigating a class struggle in this nation. ***I was determined to preach the entire encyclical,*** omitting none of its pages, none of its teachings and none of its counsels. May I interpose this thought:

Over the years this institution has given away free and without a 10 cent charge as others have done, more than 500,000 copies of this book, *"Quadragesimo Anno,"* and will continue to give away hundreds of thousands of more copies so that both capital and labor in America can read for themselves this letter which the late Pius XI addressed not only to Patriarchs, Primates, Archbishops and Bishops, but to all the faithful of the Catholic world on the *"Reconstruction of the Social Order."*

Now, I recognize that Rome was not built in a day and that this reconstruction of the social order can-

(Continued on Page 7)

NATIONAL WEEKLY

Social Justice

Founded 1936 by Father Coughlin
Published by The Social Justice Publishing Co.
E. PERRIN SCHWARTZ, *Editor*

16 PRINCIPLES OF SOCIAL JUSTICE

Liberty of conscience and education.

Just living annual wage.

Nationalization of important public resources.

Private ownership of all other property.

Control of private property for public good.

Abolition of Federal Reserve Banking System and establishment of a Government-owned Central Bank.

Restoration to Congress of its sole right to coin and regulate the value of money.

Cost of living maintained on an even keel.

Cost of production plus a fair profit for the farmer.

Labor's right to organize.

Recall of non-productive bonds.

Abolition of tax-exempt bonds.

Broadened base of taxation on basis of ownership and capacity to pay.

Simplification of Government and lower taxes.

Conscription of wealth as well as men in event of war.

Sanctity of human rights preferred to sanctity of property with Government's chief concern for the poor.

The Red Challenge

"The Communist Party of the United States appears small and weak in comparison to the gigantic tasks which history has placed upon us of *leading 130-million Americans to a new Socialist system*," said Earl Browder announcing the new drive for revolution.

"But we must never forget that 25 years ago the Russian Bolsheviks appeared even weaker, a persecuted and outlawed group, but today that party heads a nation of 180 millions."

DOES ANY non-Communist doubt what Browder meant by that? Does any Communist doubt?

On November 1, the *Daily Worker* published in full the Molotov speech calling for world revolution; on November 4th, the same Communist organ printed an echoing speech of George Dimitrov, general secretary of the Communist International, calling on the party in all countries to "organize and take command of the struggle against the imperialist war." The working class of the capitalist countries, he said, would "be inspired by the heroic example of the Russian Bolsheviks, by the example of Lenin and Stalin." So three days later, the American "echo" inspired them.

On November 5th, only a few hours before Browder spoke, the *Daily Worker* published the *manifesto* of the executive committee of the Communist International extolling Soviet Russia as the "fatherland of the working people of all lands." Addressed to the proletarians of all countries this manifesto declared:

"The Communist International calls you to its ranks under the great banner of Marx, Engels, Lenin and Stalin."

Are there any Americans who can read still saps enough to believe that Communism in America is some kind of a movement designed to benefit the people of this country? Even intelligent Communists dare not disagree with the ideas already thought out for them by headquarters. Minds as well as the bodies of the world's proletariat are enslaved by the "dictator."

France woke up all but too late. She had permitted Communists to grow and thrive with Moscow money, exactly as the United States permits them to do. Under Constitutional guarantees permitting them to operate as a political party, the Reds enlisted a million membership. Their "popular front" literally captured France, controlled parliament, named a premier—Leon Blum. The Communists opposed and sabotaged military measures for the protection of France, and their "shock troops" instigated riots on Paris boulevards. The Reds stopped production in shipyards and airplane factories; they actually flew the Soviet flag of Red Russia on French warships. Their subversive aim was to weaken France to the point of actual union with Soviet Russia

Then the Daladier government acted; it threw them out. Some two score Communist deputies were arrested; more than 300 Communist "front" and "stooge" organizations were suspended, and the "big shots" of the Red racket went into exile or hiding there to plot an eventual comeback revolution in France.

The Communist Party in the United States is now clearly shown to be the agent of a foreign government working against the best interests of this country, under the fictional protection of "diplomatic recognition." It should be outlawed immediately as a legal political party.

Constantine Oumansky, the Soviet ambassador, should be handed his passport and the secretly-hatched diplomatic recognition of Soviet Russia be withdrawn in the interests of America.

Earl Browder and his kind should be jailed for treason to this country whenever they utter it.

What is the matter with our governing politicians that none of these things are done? Can it be possible that we, like France, have been "captured" already by the invader? We think not. There is a great tradition of freedom in this vast new land that has grown up to become the America we know. We open our public parks and let all sorts of "screwballs sound off," on the typical American theory that if you let them *talk freely* they will not *DO* anything. Someday—maybe even today or tomorrow—America is going to wake up to the mistake of all that and—like France—throw the Reds out. But do not think for a moment that it will be easy—or fun.

The Pioneer Spirit

WITH TWO Thanksgiving Days to celebrate this year, the nation's mind turns more than customarily perhaps to dwell upon those blessings for which America especially can be most truly grateful.

Thanksgiving seems a natural time for reminiscence, whether of Colonial times or the hardy life of early settlers. Gov. Luren Dickinson of Michigan—addressing a gathering of his state's Historical Society at which the millionaire motor maker, Henry Ford, was a guest—praised the spirit of the early settlers.

They were, said the Governor, "the happiest people Michigan ever had.

"Those people were going through hardships but they didn't know it," he added. "They worked from dawn till dark, and were happy doing it.

"If we could get back some of the honesty, the economical outlook, and the simplicity of those people, we could settle some of our present day problems over night."

Organize, Organize!

PROBABLY THERE is no country in the world which counts as many organizations as does the United States of America.

Organization is necessary for the maintenance of order and peace and for the production of prosperity and happiness.

Sometimes, however, too much organization is indicative of chaos just as much as too little organization makes for disorder.

I here only refer to organizations in the sphere of spirituality which are either opposed to the Church or which are trying to take over the activities of the Church; and to any organizations in the sphere of temporalities which are opposed to the State or which try to take over the duties of the State.

Organizations of this type are not only unnecessary but dangerous. They divide instead of unite the citizens; they break down instead of build up the morale.

Consider this point: Every sane person admits that human nature suffered by the fall of our First Parents; the intellect, made to know the truth, was impaired to such a degree that, oftentimes, it accepts error; the will, made to acquire the good, oftentimes reaches for that which is evil. To remedy these and other defects, a supernatural organization was established upon earth by Jesus Christ. It was instituted, among other things, to teach truth to darkened intellects which otherwise could not obtain truth and to distribute goods which the weakened will, of its own power, could not acquire.

Now any organization whose purpose is to teach spiritual truths and sociological principles identified with spiritual truths—any such organization that ignores of the Church is doomed to failure from the outset.

Moreover, the supernatural organization known as the Church is empowered by its Founder to teach the basic truths of government — even though, perhaps, not the specific truths nor the functions of government.

While in the United States of America there is no union between Church and State, nevertheless, the State cannot succeed in realizing its aims unless it abides by the basic teachings of the Church—the same Church to whose first officers Christ said: "Go ye, therefore, and teach all nations . . . He who heareth you heareth Me."

In these days when we are evolving from one to another period through sociological developments, no organization ignoring of the Church or contrary to the Church can contribute to a successful evolution. Consequently, both from theory and experience, I warn you to hold aloof from all organizations now established or about to be established, designed to bring about sociological changes both through agents and principles independent of the agent instituted by Christ to teach (the Church) and of the principles enunciated by that duly appointed agent.

All Christians must recognize that if we cannot accomplish a renovation of the face of the earth through the Church which was established to redeem and save us as individuals and as society, there is no hope for any independent or hostile organization to do this.

For those reasons, theoretically expressed above, I plead with all my readers to identify themselves with the organization instituted by Christ and under the jurisdiction of that organization's officers.

Let us organize. But let our organizations be Christian organizations in the full sense of the word.

Meanwhile, I congratulate the many friends of social justice who, without establishing or encouraging naturalistic organizations, have formed clubs, societies and groups to further the principles of Christian social justice. And I thank each member of these social justice clubs for the valiant assistance rendered to me in the crusade in which we are all engaged.

FATHER COUGHLIN

World's Fare

"WE HAVE no quarrel with the great mass of Catholic workers in America," said Earl Browder, the Moscow kremlin's No. 1 agent in America, in his Madison Square speech. He added: *"We find a growingly sympathetic attitude toward Socialism among them which we welcome!"*

* * *

Our readers will no doubt remember Mr. Browder's proffer of the left hand of Communist comradeship to American Catholics. It becomes more evident daily that not a few of them have accepted the offer.

* * *

Catholic criticism of Father Coughlin is often suspect. His knowledge of the issues raised is so more mature than that of his critics, and his Catholicity demonstrably sounder.

* * *

Their wrong guesses on all previous occasions do not deter our popular "syndicalists," the newspaper column writers, from speculating wildly about what bishop will get this or that promotion. Also, to read these sap(ient) columnists, one might suspect the Pope had written his recent encyclicals as some "special rebuke to Father Coughlin."

* * *

Heywood Broun, who "used to be a newspaper man once himself," is annoyed with the newspapers that call him a "Communist." Broun says he once ran for Congress as a Socialist and was defeated by opposition of the Communist *Daily Worker*.

* * *

It is almost too much to expect the American public to make fine distinctions between shades of red. When leftist liberals champion causes like Red democracy in Spain, they must not be surprised if people link them with the causes they champion.

* * *

The Stalin-Browder call for revolution may serve a good purpose. It will put American minds back on affairs at home and leave less time for concern about the perils of the British Empire in Asia and Europe.

* * *

Comrade Oumansky, the Soviet ambassador, is reported as empurpled with rage at newspapermen who asked if he were "still an OGPU agent." No wonder he was insulted! Tovarish Constantine was never no mere "agent," *but the "chief" of Communist espionage in America.*

* * *

Al Capone, released from Federal prison after serving his term for income tax evasion, is in a hospital under guard for his personal safety. Freedom, even in this free America, is obviously only what we make it.

* * *

Speaking of Hitler, H. V. Kaltenborn, the radioracle, says *"He is comfortable only when he is yelling."* Which reminds us that silence never seemed preciously golden to Hans either.

* * *

"We are in no greater danger of invasion than we were in September," says the Netherlands premier in comforting his nation. Who knows? Peace might continue even into December!

* * *

Devotees of the "strength-through-joy" movement — Germany's W.P.A. — are being taught Russian. Stalin may be now expected to return the cultural compliment by teaching the OGPU to goosestep.

* * *

A feline fancier has suggested to the United States Navy that it might train cats to steer its torpedoes — believing, probably, that the cats place great faith in that old adage, "rats flee from a sinking ship."

* * *

Anything-can-happen Department: A Sioux City, Iowa, woman, upon hearing the newsboys shrieking "Yankees Beat Reds," after the recent World Series, confided to a companion: *"I always knew the Yankees could beat those Russians."*

* * *

Britain has listed among its war aims the restoration of Poland. But Soviet Russia holds half of Poland, and Great Britain is not at war with Russia. And if this leaves you confused, how do you suppose the citizens of England feel?

Fr. Coughlin's Labor Message

(Continued from Page 5)

not be accomplished in a short period of time. I recognize that many advances have been made during the past several years, particularly since this letter was issued in 1931. But I deprecate the confusion which has been encountered by the laboring class—a confusion emanating oftentimes from those who are wholeheartedly interested in the cause of labor.

This confusion is regrettable because it will tend to turn the minds of the workers against the divided forces of Christianity — forces that sometimes in the heat of passion, or in the frost of inexperience manifest a divided front. I am determined that my words will not add to this confusion.

Therefore, do not interpret what I am about to say as controversial, or even as argumentative. Please accept these words as truthful facts as I approach the subject of the reasonableness of strikes. On page 17 of *"Quadragesimo Anno,"* the Radio League of the Little Flower edition, more than 500,000 copies of which were placed in circulation under the authorization of the Catholic Church, we read a paragraph which is applicable to one of the principles involved in the present Chrysler strike; to the main principle, I might say, that is involved — the principle of labor's voice in regulating production: "It is false to contend that the right of ownership and its proper use are bounded by the same limits; and it is even less true that the very misuse or even the non-use of ownership destroys or forfeits the right itself.

"Most helpful therefore and worthy of all praise are the efforts of those who, in a spirit of harmony and with due regard for the traditions of the Church, seek to determine the precise nature of these duties and to define the boundaries imposed by the requirements of social life upon the right of ownership itself or upon its use. On the contrary, it is a grievous error so to weaken the individual character of ownership as actually to destroy it.

"It follows from the two-fold character of ownership, which we have termed individual and social, that men must take into account in this matter not only their own advantage but also the common good. To define in detail these duties, when the need occurs and when the natural law does not do so, is the function of the government. Provided that the natural and divine law be observed, the public authority, in view of the common good, may specify more accurately what is licit and what is illicit for property owners in the use of their possessions. Moreover, Leo XIII had wisely taught that 'the defining of private possession has been left by God to man's industry and to the laws of individual peoples.'"

Government's Function to Define Rights

Note well, ladies and gentlemen, that the principle of regulating production is a principle concerned with the regulation of use of property owned by the Chrysler Corporation. Note well that His Holiness says: "To define in detail these duties, when the need occurs and when the natural law does not do so, *is the function of the government.* Provided that the natural and divine law be observed, *the public authority, in view of the common good, may specify more accurately what is licit and what is illicit for property owners in the use of their possessions.*"

And note finally, that this teaching of Pius XI has nothing to do with a syndical state, with a Fascistic state or with any other specific kind of state. It is a general principle laid down for all governments, for all states and for all Christians to acclaim.

A second point relative to this Chrysler strike and with my last Sunday's quotation from Pius XI's letter: On page 29 of the same edition of *"Quadragesimo Anno,"* His Holiness speaking of a syndical state, express these thoughts:

"The state here grants legal recognition to the syndicate or union, and thereby confers on it some of the features of a monopoly, for in virtue of this recognition, it alone can represent respectively working men and employers, and it alone can conclude labor contracts and labor agreements. Affiliation to the syndicate is optional for everyone; but in this sense only can the syndical organization be said to be free, since the contribution to the union and other special taxes are obligatory for all who belong to a given branch, whether working men or employers, and the labor-contracts drawn up by the legal syndicate are likewise obligatory. It is true that it has been authoritatively declared that the legal syndicate does not exclude the existence of unrecognized trade associations.

"The corporations are composed of representatives of the unions of working men and employers of the same trade or profession, and as true and genuine organs and institutions of the state they direct and coordinate the activities of the unions in all matters of common interest.

"If the contending parties cannot come to an agreement, public authority intervenes."

National Labor Board Empowered to Act

Last Sunday I asked my audience to distinguish between a dispute and a strike. A *dispute*, for example, has to do with those matters in which the C.I.O. and the Chrysler Motor Corporation are in disagreement; the *strike* is concerned with the fact that approximately 65,000 laborers are not working; that they are not receiving their pay envelopes; that their wives and children are suffering and that economic chaos threatens metropolitan Detroit. Now it is my observation that while the United States of America is not a syndical state in the strict sense of the word, it has conferred upon the C.I.O. in this instance and through the Wagner Labor Act, the right to bargain collectively with the corporation and, through virtue of the ballot, the right to bargain solely with the corporation after the members of the C.I.O. and the A.F. of L. have cast their votes to determine which organization will represent them.

On that point there is no one who disagrees.

The Wagner Labor Act is not the only piece of Government machinery which concerns labor. We have a National Labor Relations Board. It was created as an independent agency by the N.L.R.B. Act of July 5, 1935. The President named a board of three members who were confirmed of appointment on August 24, 1935.

The purpose of this Board, so the Act says, "affirms the right of employees to full freedom in self-organization and in the designation of representatives of their own choosing for the purpose of collective bargaining, and it authorizes the Board to conduct secret ballots for the determination of employee representatives, declaring unlawful those unfair labor practices which abridge or deny the right of collective bargaining."

The principal powers of the N.L.R.B. are: "By issuance of cease and desist orders to prevent any person from engaging in any of the following specific unfair labor practices when they affect commerce. Interference by employers with employees' rights of self-organization and collective bargaining; employer domination of a company union; discharge of an employee, or discrimination against him, because of his union activities, or because he has filed charges or has given testimony under the Act; and refusal by the employer to bargain collectively with the proper representatives of the employees. The Board can order and conduct hearings, issue subpenas, administer oaths, conduct investigations, and issue complaints. It can petition any circuit court of appeals for the enforcement of a cease and desist order. . . ."

The decision will affect not only
NLRB Settles Chrysler Issue
Single Contract May Cover 11 Plants
The National Labor Relations Board disposed of a major issue in the U.A.W.-C.I.O.'s dispute with Chrysler Corp. Thursday when it ruled in effect that only one contract need be signed, to

From the *Detroit Free Press*, November 17, 1939

The above facts concerning the N.L.R.B. I read from page 138 of a book entitled *"A Factual History of the New Deal Compiled by the Congressional Intelligence."* It sells for $25 a volume. Probably some of my contemporaries failed to secure a copy of it. I shall be glad to permit them to inspect it.

Labor Strike Outmoded, Useless

I do not know what you believe nor do I know how you esteem the ability of your labor leaders to argue the points in dispute. Nevertheless, I stand firm on this Catholic, Christian principle enunciated by Pius XI in *"Quadragesimo Anno,"* page 13, in the edition to which I referred above —a statement which is not bound up with any syndical state but which is for the whole world and for every form of government to the effect that when there are disputes between labor and capital of a nature which the apparent natural law does not decide, then it is the business of government to decide them.

With this viewpoint in mind, it is not the business of government to permit a metropolitan community like the City of Detroit with its one and three-quarter million population to be strangulated for want of government decision. It is not the business of government to permit this strike cancer to spread throughout the corporative entity of these United States. It is not the business of government to sit idly by while disputants of the C.I.O. and some industrial corporation, prolong the misery for your wives and for your babies, thereby necessitating the appropriation of dole funds from the taxpayers and of alms from the generous-hearted citizens.

It is not the business of government to let might dominate right.

Less Profit on Stock None for Labor

I believe there is no moralist in this nation who can convince me or the general public of the incorrectness of the remarks which I have made on this specific part of this address.

According to the latest statistics there are in circulation 4,351,132 shares of Chrysler stock. The figures of profit for the last available quarter amounted to $25,345,771.37. This means that each share in Chrysler stock produced a profit of $5.82.

My friends, that is not very much profit for a share of Chrysler Corporation to produce over a quarter and, by the same token, it means that the workers in Chrysler Corporation will obtain less profit. A strike means less profits for the stockholders and no profits for the workers. A strike means the breeding of class hatred on the doorsteps of Detroit.

My friends, we will all help you. We will not help you to take over the Chrysler plant and seize property. But we will help you to persuade government to act as it should act. We will help you not only to acquire a voice in the management of production, which is not to be disregarded, *but also an annual wage*, which is to be more highly regarded; and we will help both the Chrysler Corporation, as well as you workers, to rid America of the evils of international capitalism, of international financialism which keep America in want in the midst of plenty; which destroy the general purchasing power; which necessitates the closing down of factories and which permit a few in whose hands wealth and power are concentrated to manipulate prices, and to control the volume of purchasing power through the control of money and credit.

In conclusion, I re-state that I have been a friend of labor—not a backpatting friend, but a friend who called a spade a spade when it was necessary and who plans to do so in the future. Certainly I condemn the seizure of property. Certainly I deplore the Communist leadership which too often found its way to the top of the C.I.O.—and I am merely quoting the officers of the C.I.O.-U.A.W. in making that statement. Certainly I decry the labor war engaged in between the A.F. of L. and the C.I.O. Certainly I criticize the policies and programs of labor leaders which do not include the full encyclical of Pius XI. Certainly I have maintained and still maintain that any organization, including a labor organization, which does not take into consideration the spiritual concept of man; the fact that he is the son of God and the brother of Christ; the fact that whatsoever you do to the least of His little ones you do unto Him—certainly I maintain that if any organization is dominated only by materialistic principles, no good can come from it. Certainly I maintain that there must be class co-operation instead of class conflict, and certainly I maintain that the use of violence, of force, of black-jacks and of intimidation, is to be condemned.

If I were not a friend of labor, I would encourage these things, knowing full well that sooner or later they would lead labor into the trap of Red Communism with its loss of liberty, its penalty upon religion and the end of all labor troubles under the iron heel of some American Stalin or Hitler.

And certainly I criticize government that sits idly by while labor is fighting a useless war of nerves when Christianity teaches that it is government's business to settle those disputes which are not plainly settled by the natural law.

By this time labor should have grown old enough in America to be able to take criticism as well as give it—honest criticism as a father gives his son whom he loves.

And this hour has elapsed without me having profaned the name of any other person who is interested in the cause of labor. Be these others youthful in age or youthful in experience, it is time for them to learn that no good can be accomplished by such tactics, which do nothing but play into the hands of Communists and betray the cause of Christianity into the leadership of the materialists.

From Abroad

Danger Ahead! Watch the Balkans

Hitler and Stalin Competing for Preferred Position on the Road to India

THE NEWS: On Armistice Day, London revealed an agreement to pave the way for development of "economic collaboration" between Great Britain and Italy. This was the first official crack in the ice of the Rome-Berlin axis—whereby Italy repudiated the Anglo-Italian "gentlemen's agreement" concluded after the Ethiopian crisis. At the same time, Italy reached an economic agreement with Bulgaria.

Rome also conducted political negotiations with other Balkan countries, and with Turkey, hoping to create a solid Balkan bloc.

COMMENT: A critical situation is fast boiling up in the Balkans. Mussolini, who has so far escaped without burning his fingers, will rate as one of history's master statesmen if he gets away unscathed this time. But Italy's predicament, however important it may be in itself, is only one element in a highly involved and highly inflammable deposit of ancient hatreds.

The Balkans have always confounded the prophets and today this is more true than ever. While the eyes of the world have remained fixed on the double-invasion of Poland, the collapse of the Baltic countries, the threatened invasion of Finland, Belgium and the Netherlands, and the bizarre stalemate on the Western Front, the Balkans have been boiling hotter and hotter; and soon, now, the eyes of the world will be trained on them.

I. Big Countries

First, what do the Balkans mean to the great powers?

Italy. Rome's ambition to exert full mastery over the Mediterranean (which is one reason she annexed Albania) would be thwarted if a hostile nation dominated the Balkan peninsula.

Britain (and France). Domination of the Bosphorus and Dardanelles by the Germans would endanger Britain's petroleum and other interests in the Near East and provide a springboard for further German threats to the Empire eastward. It would block any plan to attack Germany's "back door," should this tactic be considered to offset the West front deadlock. It would prove a constant menace to British shipping lanes.

Russia. A hostile nation dominating the Balkans (and by implication the Dardanelles) would bottle up the Soviet's Black Sea navy and merchant fleet. It would prevent Russian expansion south-eastward — a program in which Nicholas raced the Kaiser and in which Stalin is racing Hitler.

Germany. See above; in addition, it must be considered that now—blocked in the west and north—Hitler may feel the necessity of a new startling coup to keep his restive peoples on the ball. The Balkans offer him that chance.

II. Little Countries

And what are the elements of Balkan unrest?

Jugoslavia. Afraid of neighboring Germany and hostile to neighboring Italy, this Versailles-created nation is torn internally by the inability of Slovenes, Croats and Serbs to get along. It is a poor, rocky, savage country—once France's ally, but long since under German economic influence.

Greece. Neutral-minded, but under British influence; it has served as a diplomatic go-between in the Turkish-Italian negotiations. It has an ancient, aggressive enemy in Bulgaria.

Bulgaria. In this small, tough country the Third International has been unusually active. So have the Nazis and so have the Italians. Some observers fear a Leftist-Rightist civil war may break out there at any time. Bulgaria is important, among other things, because it borders on Turkey.

Roumania. This nation appears to be fated, like Poland, to extinction. Each of its three neighbors—Hungary, Bulgaria and Russia—holds an important territorial grudge against it. Russia would like to drive through Roumania and Bulgaria to Turkey and its Dardanelles. Germany covets Roumania's wheat and petroleum.

Hungary. Directly threatened by Greater Germany and dangerously undermined by Bolshevik activity, this country will be lucky to escape with its independence, or without bloodshed.

III. Turkey

It is clear from this that Turkey, janissary of the eastern Mediterranean, stands to be driven out of Europe at last—losing most of its influence as a power and much of its face as champion of the great, invisible Moslem empire. So it's not strange that now Turkey should be willing to collaborate with its immemorial Catholic foe, Italy, in a plan to cement the five Balkan nations against aggression or infiltration by any power or powers.

In the opinion of SOCIAL JUSTICE such a combination will never materialize. The Germans have given the Balkans trade to replace the empty political promises of London, Paris and Geneva. The Russians have been likewise successful in pitting nation against nation, at the same time fostering Pan-slavism—trading on the Balkan races' instinctive hatred for Germans and other Europeans.

Backed by Britain, Italy might have exerted some influence. But Britain lost face in the Balkans long ago and Italy never had any to speak of. At this date, the signs point to a more and more bitter German-Russian rivalry—and chaos.

ISN'T THAT SOMEONE CALLING YOU OVER ON THE BALTIC, JOE?
NO, ADOLF, I THINK IT'S YOU THEYRE CALLING FOR OVER ON THE WESTERN FRONT
BALKANS
SHOEMAKER

—Shoemaker, in the Chicago Daily News

The League Avoids an Embarrassment

GENEVA—The League of Nations displayed unaccustomed regard for the common man's intelligence by cancelling its meeting scheduled for December 4. The session was only called to vote the 1940 budget, anyway — a matter which one of the zealous commissions will attend to in good time.

The meeting was called off at the instigation principally of Great Britain on the ground that "political discussion" would inevitably mar the financial deliberations. Some of the skeletons legally empowered to rattle their bones in the halls of the diplomaniacs:

Haile Selassie, King of Kings of Ethiopia and Lion of Judah, in whose cause the League was supposed to have strangled Italy with economic and, if need be, military sanctions. Thrice betrayed by Britain—which sold petroleum to Italy during the Ethiopian war, never took one military step, and finally offered a plan to give Mussolini half of Abyssinia—the Negus is now nevertheless a guest of England.

King Zog, royal mouse in the tiny kingdom of Albania—a cheese which the Italian cat took in one pounce last spring.

The straw governments of Austria and Czecho-Slovakia, resident in Paris.

The straw government of Poland, also resident in Paris, which last week officially "deposed" Marshal Smigly-Rydz as commander-in-chief of the army. The marshal has been interned in Roumania at the behest of Britain, lest he divulge the military details of his country's betrayal by the Allies.

Cries and Alarums in Merry England

LONDON — As usual playing up the alleged threat from the air, police prevented thousands from gathering at the Cenotaph, as is their wont, to observe Armistice Day. King George did, however, lay a wreath. As he did so, a woman ran up screaming: "Hypocrites! Hypocrites!"

* * *

Exerting his rights as a member of Parliament, Sergeant W. R. D. Stroud of the Royal Air Force appeared in the House of Commons in uniform to complain that since war was declared he had received only two weeks' pay. He told Sir Kingsley Wood, Secretary for Air, that "the R. A.F. is in a chaotic condition."

* * *

Englishwomen generally have displayed a better sense of humor than their lords and ministers. The government recently was obliged to issue a solemn warning against their use of gas-mask bags as catch-alls. The masks, said the ukase in part, "are government property and there are penalties for damage caused by neglect . . . the mask may at any time become a vital necessity and neglect may well cost the individual more dearly than any fine."

Corp. Hitler Eyes Imperial Palace

BUDAPEST—Reports persist that Hitler contemplates moving the capital of Great Germany from Berlin to Vienna, installing himself at Schoenbrunn, fabulous suburban palace of the Hapsburgs. The rumor has taken new life from the recent increase in German economic and political agents working through the Balkan countries.

Such a move by the former corporal would prepare the way for reviving the old Austro-Hungarian empire in new and greater form. It would flatter the Southern Germans but would hardly be taken in good grace by the Prussians, who have thought of themselves as the rightful rulers of Europe since the times of the Teutonic Knights.

Jewish Plans —

PRESIDENT GILDEMESTER of the international committee to aid Jewish emigres has appealed from Rome to President Roosevelt for aid in floating a $50-million loan to build the world's greatest Jewish city. It would sit on the shores of Lake Tana in Italian East Africa (Ethiopia), whence springs the Blue Nile, on which in large part depends the fertility of Egypt.

* * *

Shanghai is alarmed by the arrival of 2,000 Jews a month from Central Europe. Already they constitute one-fourth (15,000) of the city's Western residents. Though most of them can't find work, a good many have started competing with natives and with Japanese merchants. A move is afoot to settle them in Yunnan province as Chinese citizens—thus creating a new Jewish State, this time in southwestern China.

'Prosperity' Note—

SO ANXIOUS are the munitions companies to sell all their powder to the warring nations abroad, that most of them have called their salesmen off the road and some have closed their books to all trade with American sportsmen.

The British Commonwealth



—*Chicago Tribune.*

The Agonies of India

Gandhi Grasping at the Last Chance for Independence

THE NEWS: Despite a rigid censorship it is clear that India is now going through a historical transition. The occasional bulletins which the Viceroy's government allows to be transmitted to the world press say, between the lines, that a state of martial law prevails over large areas of the vast peninsula and that great masses of the people are approaching a mood of open rebellion.

Since Great Britain's declaration of war, Lord Linlithgow has held a series of negotiations with the native populist leaders, notably Mohandas Gandhi, with results so far disappointing to the Imperial Government.

Last week the All-India Congress Party ordered the governments of India's eight most important provinces to resign. Gandhi said: "I will resist active resistance *unless I find the country prepared for that.*" Heretofore Gandhi has refused to condone armed rebellion, commanding his followers to protest oppression only by sitting down.

COMMENT: Most likely, this is the last chance for the Indian peoples —350-million souls belonging to 20 distinct races and speaking 200 languages and dialects—to achieve a common independence under the protection of the British crown, or in any other form. But first let us look at the map (above) and review the conditions that have brought India to its present state.

I. The House Divided

The British—which means the British East India Trading Company, dominated by the Jewish house of Sassoon and backed by Christian gunboats—first went to India with their "divide, conquer and rule" maxim in the seventeenth century. *They used then, and they use today, weapons still more effective than guns—opium and whisky!*

In 1857 the Indians saw too late how they had been tricked. The masses rose in what our textbooks call the barbarous "Sepoy Rebellion" but which the natives call the *War for Independence.* Mercilessly crushed, India had no redress until the World War, when Great Britain promised the country self-government in exchange for its help in money and men.

That this promise never materialized is largely the result of internecine dissention. Its elements:

The All-India Congress party, claiming 200-million adherents, directed by Mohandas Karamchand Gandhi, once called "Mahatma" (Great Soul—he made his followers drop this title some years ago.);

The All-India Moslem League, claiming 75-million Mohammedan adherents;

Numerous autonomous parties — the Liberals, Hindu Mahassabha, Democratic Swarajua, Independent Labor Party, etc.

The native rulers, independent yet vassals to the British Crown, some of them almost incredibly wealthy (Hyderabad, Mysore, Kashmir, Baroda, Gwalior —names to conjure with);

The Crown Colony of Burma, "where the dawn comes up like thunder," with 7-million inhabitants;

The racial and language divisions already mentioned, plus the fact that Hinduism's 218-million followers are divided into five different castes—Brahmins, Khattrias, Saisyas, Sudras, and the untouchables;

And 93 per cent illiteracy.

(Continued on Page 18)

—*Thomas, in Detroit News*

Australia

Object Lesson: Australia Under a Dictatorship

(Special Correspondence)

SIDNEY, AUSTRALIA — In 1914 the Commonwealth of Australia depended in large measure on England for munitions and supplies. Today the island continent is loading ship after ship with war materials for the mother country. This is the result of a three-year preparatory program chiefly directed by the Bank of England's financial princelings here, the Montefiore clan.

Within 48 hours after war was declared the Australian government, as result of this program, was able to take over without so much as "a bang or a whimper" from the voters. Overnight, social and economic reform was suspended and three notable measures went into effect. (American war-crusaders please note.)

The first bill, the National Security Act, gives the Commonwealth government power to control or acquire any property, whether of nationals or aliens; to lay down conditions for the disposal of any goods whatsoever; to force any individual to disclose any information in his possession about any matter; and to enter and search any premises and empower any court dealing with an offense against the act to sit in camera.

Special War Cabinet Rules the Country

Secondly, legislation gives the government absolute price control. At a conclave of state premiers in Canberra, September 9, it was decided that:

All states appoint price commissioners as agents for the Commonwealth Government, which means the Montefiore-controlled Commonwealth Bank;

All trade associations and consumers shall co-operate in making the price control scheme effective;

All state premiers will have power to decree rationings of commodities where supplies are deficient.

Thirdly, compulsory military service, abolished during the "reforms" of 1929, was promptly reinstated. Maj. Gen. Sir Thomas Blamey was appointed commander - in - chief to oversee the training of 3,000 pilots and the drilling of a force that should reach 80,000 soon after the act becomes operative January 1, 1940.

Prof. Douglas Berry Copland will answer to Prime Minister Robert G. Menzies as Federal Prices Controller, assisted by an appointive staff of business men, accountants and assessors. Charged, however, with the real work of disciplining the nation into the dictatorial mould is a special war cabinet headed by Attorney-General William M. Hughes (Prime Minister during the World War.)

Now, just what has Australia to offer in the way of practical aid to the mother country? For one thing, steel.

The ore from South Australia is treated with Tasmanian limestone and processed with New South Wales coal. The industry is one of the most efficient anywhere and during the last three years it has been expanding rapidly—particularly in the manufacture of 18-pound and other shells, Mills bombs, trench mortar bombs, rifle ammunition; Bren machine guns, anti-aircraft guns and heavy artillery.

Boom Expectations Are Questionable

Then, airplanes. A British air mission visited Australia last December and organized production of Bristol Beaufort bombers in two huge factories, one here and one at Melbourne.

(Continued on Page 10)

Neutrality Trap

The Fight Between Imperialisms

American Catholics Warned Against Propaganda to Compromise U.S. Neutrality

By DR. J. S. BARNES

WHENEVER ENGLAND goes to war, every imaginable effort is made to stage it as a crusade in behalf of some great moral principle of universal appeal, while her enemies are represented as deep died criminals.

It was the same in the last war when the Kaiser was to have been hanged. It was the same during the Napoleonic wars when every word of abuse used today against Hitler was used against Napoleon. Now Napoleon, in English eyes, is a bit of a hero and the Kaiser is regarded as a somewhat benign and pathetic figure.

It was the same during the American War of Independence when effigies of Washington were burnt (just as those of the Boer leader, Kruger, were in 1900) in the place of "Old Nick" and Guy Fawkes. It was the same during the wars against Louis XIV and, before that, against Philip of Spain.

England always is right, always ineffably pure in heart, always the instrument and scourge of Providence. The English fancy themselves as God's "chosen people"—the heir of the Jews; and perhaps it is not without significance that the present war has been largely engineered by international Jewry as a war of revenge against Germany and in the hope of making the world safe for that kind of Liberalism that has been condemned by the Popes.

But as Bernard Shaw, with true Irish objectivity, points out in a recent letter to the *Daily Herald*, England's moral claims would be ridiculed on this occasion by any impartial international tribunal in the same way as impartial historians have ridiculed similar claims made by her in the past. Mr. Chamberlain's government is no more God-sent than that of Herr Hitler. Both sides, for that matter, are fighting for supposed national interests and for national interests alone. The only difference is that Germany is fighting for life and air, while England is fighting to preserve her acquired wealth and traditional position as the "world's policeman"—a role in which she very much fancies herself.

American Memories Too Short for Own Good

Eighty years ago English caricaturists depicted the typical German as a dear old harmless pedantic professor. That was when Germany was weak and divided. For three centuries France, on the other hand, was represented as an unsatiable militarist power. Militarism was regarded as an inseparable characteristic of the French; and, before that, Catholic Spain was held up likewise by the English as the embodiment of egoistic monopoly and cruelty. England then fought for precisely the same cause for which Germany is fighting now — living space. Then, however, the cause was held to be sacred.

I remember also how Japan, when she first emerged into the limelight in her war against Russia, was hailed in England as the "Britain of the East"—"that gallant little nation imbued with magnificent traditions of chivalry." But no sooner did Japan imitate Britain a little more closely and become a first class power capable of challenging effectively British commercial interests, than she was dubbed "a nation of dishonest traders and unscrupulous imperialists."

All this would be a huge joke if British propaganda did not endanger American neutrality; for, unfortunately, the memories of the American masses are short, their knowledge of history small and, like all amorphic masses, they are open to clever suggestion.

The ruthless conquest of Ireland, the centuries-long crushing of the Irish spirit and of the Irish language; the monstrous penal laws of the 18th century and the policy of the Union directed in the interests of British industry at the expense of the Irish; the deliberate division and depopulation of Ireland as preliminary conditions to granting the Irish people a measure of self-government . . . these facts English people invariably succeed in ignoring. Such things are crimes only when committed by others. But there is no reason for Americans to ignore them. At least they should remember Yorktown, which for them was the end of British tyranny.

Empire's Fall Would Free Half the World

The fact is that England has always —and all over the globe—ridden like a Juggernaut over the rights of smaller or weaker nations. She has only desisted from doing so when her shrewd instinct has warned her that she was biting off more than she could chew. She only began even to be generous to the colonies of her own blood after America had taught her a severe lesson and after Canada had threatened to teach it to her a second time. Indeed, her Empire, like that of Carthage, has been based on the principle of exploitation for the sole benefit of the Mother Country. Money making has been her exclusive interest.

England has never cared for the exportation of the great heritage of European culture; she has never cared for the assimilation by herself of the culture of those civilized countries which she has conquered. If the subject peoples have benefited by her rule, it is only because she has brought peace to them, order and, as a rule, an incorrupt administration, and because she has been the means of bringing them into contact with modern scientific progress.

Great Britain has never gone out of her way (except as a strictly profitable business proposition or by the threat of rebellion) to attempt to raise the standards of life anywhere. Her victims have never been fed at the same table as their conquerors. They have only been accorded the crumbs. *None of them would regret the fall of the British Empire*—neither the people of Ireland, of India, of Malta, of Cyprus, of Egypt, or of Palestine, nor yet those of West Africa and of the West Indies, where conditions under British rule are an open scandal, duly acknowledged even in a recent British Government report which, however, has never been given full publicity.

As for the new rising powers in the world like Italy, Spain, Japan and of course Germany, who feel themselves hemmed in by the monopolistic power of Britain, the fall of the British Empire would be hailed as a deliverance. Quite half the world would feel itself set free.

Catholics Especially Must Be On Guard

All this is not said in order to let it be thought that in the present struggle England is altogether in the wrong, still less that Germany is altogether in the right. There are rights and wrongs on both sides. The danger, however, to the maintenance of American neutrality, which in the first place is a major interest of America and in the second a major interest of civilization itself (the greater the area in which the blessings of peace can be preserved the better for the world), comes mainly from British propaganda aiming at touching the American mind—so susceptible to moral and sentimental issues—in its softest place.

It is not for America to pick the British chestnuts out of the fire, chestnuts that have fallen into the blaze on account of British bungling and British egoism. And although it may not, on general grounds, be desirable that the British Empire should fall in one catastrophic collapse, its fall would not by any means destroy American interests. In such an event New York would become the undisputed financial center of the world,

This eminent foreign correspondent of SOCIAL JUSTICE, whose views are already familiar to the readers of this National Magazine, contributes some new thoughts on the international rivalry between imperialisms. Especially interesting is his warning to American Catholics not to be taken in by the pretension that only Great Britain and France are the great friends of religion.

—THE EDITOR

Canada would grow closer to the U.S.A., and the latter could, for the asking, complete her territorial unity and strategical predominance in the Carribean Sea. From the military point of view America has in any case nothing to fear from Europe either now or in the future. Whatever the result of the war, America is safe.

American Catholics especially should be on their guard against the propaganda already in full swing by British Catholics based on the plea that the Nazi regime has shown itself a bitter enemy of the Church. This is not to be denied. But *the English themselves have been the leading enemies of the Church for the past four centuries, France for the past 150 years.* Only recently have they been outmatched in this respect by Russia. It is hardly, therefore, for them to point the finger.

The materialistic civilization of today which every Catholic deplores is mainly the creation of the English and the French. It has been the destiny of these two peoples, who by their power have stamped their civilization on the world, including Germany, to develop the Humanism born of the Renaissance into all the sad prevailing heresies of today and yesterday: an unchecked individualism and supernationalism which together produced Protestantism; Protestantism which has since so widely degenerated into agnosticism, indifferentism and atheism; Liberalism as condemned by the Popes; Socialism (the last step towards Bolshevism) and Jacobinism before it; and the neo-Jewish and Freemasonic spirit that has utterly contaminated Christendom.

And if the British and French *regimes* have grown more tolerant of Catholic rights during the last generation or so, it is not because their *regimes* are in essence the less obnoxious in the view of the Popes. Christianity is fast losing ground in England and the process is bound to continue as long as the liberal tradition persists. The same may be said of France despite the recently more determined stand made by the Catholic population against the Freemasonic racket of the French governmental system.

German Catholics Immediately Concerned

Moreover, it should not be forgotten that 30-million fervent German Catholics are convinced that a defeat of Germany would render their present conditions immeasurably worse; for, unless England and France are prepared, after defeating Germany, to shoulder for years the additional burden of defending a disarmed Germany and a weak Poland against the consequent inevitable infiltration of Russian influence, Bolshevism and the wholesale destruction of religion would be the lot of all Europe east of the Rhine.

If, on the other hand, Germany won this war, the situation as far as the Church is concerned is not likely to be changed for the worse. Poland, enjoying full administrative and cultural autonomy within her ethnographical limits, would certainly be restored. For the rest, the struggle between the Catholic and non-Catholic view of life would continue unchanged in Germany, in France and in England. Indeed, if Germany (she would probably fall to the temptation) annexed Alsace-Lorraine, the chances of a Catholic victory in Germany would be greatly increased; while France, holding her present regime responsible for her defeat, might very probably re-establish a Catholic monarchy.

Australia

(Continued from Page 9)

Now 5,000 skilled workers are turning out some 100 planes a month—and, mark you, these craft can fly to France in four days or less over the regular Imperial Airways passenger route without much likelihood of serious interference from the enemy.

Again, the famous Broken Hill Corporation, the largest of our industrial establishments, which has a great tinplate plant at Whyalla, South Australia, is now completing an equally large one in New South Wales for the fabrication of aluminum and its alloys.

Finally, Britain has contracted for the entire wool clip of the next three years and for any surplus of wheat or other foodstuffs—and the Australian merchant marine of 500 steamers and 94 motor ships is ready to carry all these materials to Europe.

While there is talk that all this business will produce a boom such as Australia has never seen, it appears on careful analysis that the wealth of the country will be drained off and destroyed by the war; and while the yield for the producers will be fixed by government decree (as will food rations, for that matter), the profits from this gigantic production schedule will be siphoned off through the Jewish Montefiores' bank.

Today a dictatorship controls the Commonwealth of Australia. And the unbelievable rapidity with which the new order has covered every phase of national and private life proves more than any research could that it came not as the result of chance but, as President Roosevelt has said: "We planned it that way!"

On the Red Front

Radical Control Over W.P.A., Radio

Subversive Union Directs Adult Teaching; Broadcast Monopoly Also Aids Reds

By Staff Correspondent of SOCIAL JUSTICE

PAST ISSUES OF SOCIAL JUSTICE have smashed the myth of "liberalism" which has been built up around the Teachers Union, the College Teachers Union and the American Students Union. It has been proven by documented facts that these three organizations, controlling the public grammar and high schools and the colleges of New York City are nothing more than Communist "front" organizations, overrun with radical Jews.

Lending a dubious respectability to these three groups have been the frequent endorsements of Mayor Fiorello LaGuardia and the well-known parlor pink, Ordway Tead, president of the Board of Higher Education of New York City.

The Teachers Union and the College Teachers Union are both units of the Communist-controlled American Federation of Teachers. Two months ago this National Weekly reported the convention of the A. F. of T. in Buffalo, at which radicalism ran roughshod over the sensible elements of the union's membership.

A third unit of the American Federation of Teachers exists in New York City, the W.P.A. Teachers Union, Local 453. For some strange reason, possibly due to the high percentage of Trotzkyite Jews in its membership, this group has not received the wholehearted endorsement of the municipal officials that has been responsible for the success of the Teachers Union and the College Teachers group.

Subversive Air About W.P.A. Union

The W.P.A. Teachers Union is a most mysterious affair. Its headquarters are in a dingy building at 28 West 31st Street, New York City, hidden in the depths of the Jewish wholesale marts. All day long a stream of fanatic-eyed visitors climbs up and down the rickety stairs leading to its offices.

Since the union does not record its actions, little can be learned about it. The president is William Levner, a Jew with Trotzkyite leanings. In 1938 Levner was the union's executive secretary, serving under Laura Liebman, a Jewess.

Prominent radicals who direct the union's obscure activities are said to be Levner and Liebman, Dr. Abraham Goldfeld, George Boehm and Robert Mandel, Marxist Jews who are suspected of having close ties with Max Schachtman, New York leader of the Trotzkyite Socialist-Workers Party.

The union publishes a mimeographed newsletter called the *Project Organizer*. As the following quotation will indicate, this newsletter has all the earmarks of the Fourth International, suggesting that the tactics of the union are based on shyster trickery rather than the more direct approach of the Stalinist-Communists.

'Let's Figure Out Some Clever Tricks'

Speaking of the "reactionaries," the *Project Organizer* for October, 1939, says:

"If we bury our heads in the sand and bow before them, we are conducting ourselves just as the reactionaries hope we will. Let's figure out some tricks ourselves and . . . fight in an organized fashion for our right to live."

In the spring of this year Colonel Brehon B. Somervell, New York City W.P.A. administrator, cracked down on the W.P.A. Teachers Union when his office *received threats of physical violence if certain reductions in personnel were put into effect.* Shortly afterwards, the union issued a circular charging that Col. Somervell was "playing ball" with the politicians.

On January 19th, the union was expelled from the Central Trades and Labor Council of New York for its subversive activities. The specific reasons for this expulsion were given as (a) co-operation with the Red Workers Alliance (David Lasser, Russian Jew, president), on all W.P.A. issues, (b) affiliation with the American League for Peace and Democracy, which Earl Browder admitted was a Red Front Cell, and (c) welcoming home the Communist "veterans" of the Abraham Lincoln Battalion, who fought on the side of the priest-killing Reds in the Spanish War.

Two of the outspoken advocates of the W.P.A. Teachers Union are City Councilman Michael J. Quill, reputed Stalinist leader of the International Transport Workers Union, and Representative Emanuel Celler, leader of the radical Celler-Sabbath Jewish legislative bloc in the present Congress. Celler acts as a semi-official legislative representative for the union, while Quill, until he learned of the Trotzkyite leanings of the W. P.A. group, used to be their favorite street-corner orator.

Group Conducts Adult Education

The program of the W.P.A. Teachers Union includes the following demands: That teachers on relief be granted vacations, sick leave with pay, and tenure rights and abolition of relief certification as a condition for W.P.A. employment. In other words, the union subscribes to the theory that relief is a profession, not a charity. This is true oriental philosophy, obviously fostered by its subversive leaders.

The major part of the adult education program in New York City is carried on with W.P.A. aid. Consequently, the union teachers are in a position to disseminate their Marxist creed, not only to the younger people, but to the large mass of uneducated foreign-born residents who try to make up for their educational shortcomings in the evening schools.

With the three faculty unions and the American Students Union doing their utmost to undermine the Christian Americanism of the school children of New York, it would seem that these radicals leading the four groups would be satisfied with the results of their handiwork. This, however, is furthest from the truth.

One of the innovations introduced in the New York City schools during the last twelve months were the so-called "tolerance assemblies." These were the brainchild of Mrs. Johanna M. Lindhof, one of the parlor pinks on the Board of Education. The main purpose of the assemblies is the glorification of the Jewish race. Many of the tolerance lectures given at these assemblies are laughably similar to Dr. Paul Goebbels' discourses on the racial superiority of the Germans, save that Jewish racism is substituted for the Nazi's Nordic brand.

Sex Education for Teen Ages Mandatory

The Board of Education has also made mandatory a course on sex—to be given to children of from 12 to 14 years. Thus radicalism and animalism have become the two main doctrines of Mayor LaGuardia's "liberal" educational system.

LaGuardia also has had his finger in the educational pie in another field. Only recently it has become the vogue in the New York school system to hold radio classes, with the Leftist station, WNYC, as the outlet.

Concerning radio in education, though, the little Mayor is an unimportant factor. The National Broadcasting Company, through Mr. David Sarnoff, started on this tack many years ago. Sarnoff, however, has had little success in this field.

Discussing a statement of Sarnoff's to the effect that the Government has imposed a secret censorship of the air, G. H. Payne, Federal Communications Commissioner, had this to say about the caliber of the N.B.C. programs:

"Sarnoff's speech is evidence of the *organized movement of the radio monopoly to mould public opinion to its will* and to control not only the industry, but the F.C.C. From the head of the company that was responsible for the Mae West broadcast one might well have expected a milder tone. There is not a word of apology for the bloodcurdling programs that do harm to children nor for the character of the programs that have been described in many places *as moronic and fit only for the intellect of a child of 12.*"

Radical Educators Use Radio Monopoly

On the other hand, the Columbia Broadcasting system has made great strides forward in the education field . . . if one can term an extremely prosemitic and pro-radical educational program as a "great stride forward." Under the guise of "education," the C.B.S. network emits hours and hours of pseudo-intellectual bilge, which it chooses to call the "American School of the Air."

The American School of the Air was the materialization of a dream of the network's owner, William Samuel Paley. Scanning Paley's background, it is easy to see why this venture is tinged with radicalism and Jewish racism.

Paley was born in this country, a son of Samuel Paley, a Russian Jew, who came to this country from Kiev, Russia, about the same time as the notorious Trotzkyite, Abraham Cahan. His mother was Goldie Drell. The C.B.S. head's father was the son of Isaac Paley and Zelda Lapatofsky. He, his father and his wife are prominent in various Jewish refugee activities.

In 1934, Paley opposed free radio time for education and religious programs. This, however, has not interfered with his school of the air, nor his various pro-Jewish broadcasts. But it has served as an excellent excuse for his opposition to granting time on the network to Father Coughlin, a Christian priest.

Claim Jewish Money Backed Columbus

An example of the type of broadcasts which make up the C.B.S. "educational" ventures may be found in a review of Jewish contributions broadcast on a nationwide C.B.S. hook-up, February 5, 1939. The program lauded the Jews in the making of America, declaring that they were responsible even for Columbus' discovery of America. According to U. S. Commissioner of Education Studebaker, who spoke for the Jews on this program, Columbus would never have discovered America if it were not for Jewish financial backing.

It can easily be seen how the children of New York, with semitism and radicalism drummed into them by their Jewish teachers in public school, by Jewish professors in college, and the Jewish radio monopoly, join radical organizations such as the American Students Union. It is no wonder that there is now in New York an intolerant semitic racism, based on the revolutionary doctrines of Karl Marx and Leon Trotzky, even more dangerous to America than the German National-Socialist creed.

Christian Parents Still Have the Ballot

Christian American parents of New York City have the only remedy for this disease in their ballots and in their determination to protest. So long as the LaGuardias and Ordway Teads are permitted to experiment with the city's educational system, using our students as so many guinea pigs, radicalism will grow by leaps and bounds in the student bodies.

Colonel Walter Jeffreys Carlin, the only pre-LaGuardia member of the Board of Higher Education, has been the lone watchdog of Christian Americanism on that body. His has been the only voice to be raised in defense of the rights of the parents, but his has been a voice in the wilderness. And, like John the Baptist, he may lose his head to the Salomes of modern New York, unless non-Jewish American parents rally to his support and flood the Board of Education with their protests and demands that the radicals be dismissed from the school system.

Due to the activities of their radical brethren, the Jewish parents should have been the first to raise their voices in a plea to Americanize the faculties of the New York City schools. With anti-Semitism becoming more and more militant every day, the Jews of New York are treading on dangerous ground when they permit a revolutionary minority to usurp the leadership of their race.

If, after protests by parents, the educational directors still refuse to mend their ways and provide a decent, de-propagandized education for the Christian American students, a last recourse will be to the ballot, which can be used to oust from office the radicals in the LaGuardia administration, who have made possible the present state of affairs.

SOCIAL JUSTICE herewith presents the last in its series of articles exposing Leftist influences in New York schools. While these may have appeared to readers in the rest of the country as a preoccupation with local affairs of the metropolis, the editors of SOCIAL JUSTICE believe these examples to be symptomatic of the state of the nation. In order not to seem unfair to New York City, therefore, we print next week a startling exposure of "*Communism in Massachusetts Colleges.*"

—THE EDITOR

Radio and Press

Radio Code Is Threat Against Free Speech

Constitutional Right Can Now Be Had Only as a 'Favor' from Radio Monopolists

Radio address of Hon. Samuel B. Pettengill, former Congressman from Indiana, on Sunday, November 12, 1939. (By special arrangement.)

THE NATIONAL Association of Broadcasters has decided that the American people need a guardian. They have elected themselves the guardian. They did this without our knowledge or consent; you and I are now their "wards." It is only by their leave that we may now discuss a controversial question over the air waves of America. You can say nothing, you can hear nothing, except with "their majesties' " gracious permission.

The National Broadcasters' code *is a threat against the free speech of a free people.* No law authorized their action, no election ratified it. They did what Congress itself does not have the power to do. These Lords of the Air determined that *they* would decide what *you* may hear.

I wish Lincoln and Jefferson were living now — or Andy Jackson or Theodore Roosevelt—or many another who trusted the plain people of this great country, and who did not think they needed a guardian. Woodrow Wilson was one of these men. He said, "I do not want to be taken care of by the Government, directly or indirectly. . . . I have never heard of any group of men in whose hands I am willing to put the liberties of America in trust."

Ladies and gentlemen, are you willing to hand the liberties of America over to the National Association of Broadcasters, or to any bureaucracy in Washington that regulates radio?

This is government by men, and not by law. Somebody arbitrarily dictates what rights you may or may not exercise. Our forefathers called this tyranny. I predict that *the American people are not now going to stand for dictatorship at home which they condemn abroad.*

No Radio Without Consent of Monopoly

No controversial question can be discussed in Germany unless Hitler consents. No controversial question can be discussed in Russia unless Stalin consents. No controversial question can be discussed in America unless the National Association of Broadcasters or some of the members (the radio monopoly) consent.

After you remove the camouflage of all the clever reasons why the Code is good for you and why "Papa knows best," these facts remain. Inside wire pullers can alone determine, first, what is a "controversial issue"; second, how much time shall be spent discussing it, if any; and, third, who discusses it, if anyone. *I challenge any member of the Association to deny that this is the exact fact.*

I am speaking on the subject today. But I am doing so by permission only. *Somebody, and I don't know who, has consented that I may speak. Somebody, and I don't know who, could withdraw that consent.* Then I could not speak. What you and I have today is a *permit* and not a *right.* But free speech is the right of all and the gift of none! A gift may be refused; a right may be stoutly held. This goes to the very root of our free institutions.

Rights and not favors is the whole distinction between free men and slaves. . . .

At the moment, the code falls hardest upon Doctor Townsend and Father Coughlin. They are to be snuffed off the air. The millions who want to listen to them are to be denied that right. Again I say this is tyranny. I do not agree with much that these gentlemen advocate. But the question now is not whether Father Coughlin's and Dr. Townsend's views are sound. The question is whether they shall be denied the *right* to speak, and the *right* to be heard.

As a leading Catholic journal, *America* has said, "It is hard to exaggerate the serious menace to rightful freedom in this double censorship. Today the victim is Father Coughlin. Tomorrow it may be another Washington or Lincoln who strives to denounce a national dictatorship established on the ruins of the American Constitution."

'Free Time' Is Joker: Control Is the Peril

On its face the Code has a clever appearance of fairness. A sort of promise is held out that all sides of all controversial questions will always be presented on "balanced programs." But no one whose eyeteeth have been cut need be fooled by any offer to give "free time" on balanced programs.

The power to select the speakers is the hidden knife.

What more would the gamblers, racketeers and their corrupt political allies want than a situation wherein the controllers of broadcasting may secretly decide who, if anyone, shall be permitted to attack them?

Advertisers, station owners, and manufacturers and merchants of radio sets had better wake up. Their interests are at stake. If the American people lose confidence in the radio, they will lose confidence in the advertiser. Put the integrity of the "editorial page of the air" under public suspicion and watch listeners, advertisers—and buyers—slip away!

It would be unfair if I were to allow you to assume that all broadcasters want to control the discussion of controversial questions. The contrary is the case. Many broadcasters are as much opposed to this provision of the Code as anyone. Some have already withdrawn from the association in protest, such as Elliott Roosevelt. Others want the code changed and will join in the fight that is going to be made to change it. We have support also within the Federal Communications Commission itself.

Hidden Pressure Behind Action

There is good reason to believe that the Association adopted this code either *under hidden pressure or the threat or fear of it.* The effort to control radio has been going on a long time. A few years ago the Federal Radio Commission at Washington, believe it or not, actually argued in court that a radio address is not "speech" within the meaning of the United States Constitution. Since then there has been a silent censorship over broadcasters through the power to cancel their licenses, to refuse to renew them, and the short term of the license granted them—until lately only six months—now one year.

This makes every broadcaster, with his large investment at stake, a constant suppliant for the favor of the commission. He knows that the commission may refuse to renew his license if, during the preceding six months, some commissioner has not liked something broadcast from his station. Commissioners themselves have made radio speeches criticising radio programs. That was a "tip off" as to what programs not to have.

But that is not all. By virtue of his declaration that an "emergency" exists, the President today *has the legal power to close every radio station in America* or to turn them over to a Government agency where they might be exclusively used for Government propaganda, as in Germany, Russia or Italy. He has not exercised that power. I trust he will not undertake to do so. I trust that a once free people may still be permitted, even as a *favor,* if not as a *right,* to criticize governmental policies with respect to war, or the problems of peace.

But the sword of Democles now hangs over the head of every station owner. A loaded revolver held at your heart has power over you even if the trigger is not pulled.

Veteran N. Y. Jewish Editor Firebrand for Trotzky Coup

(Special to SOCIAL JUSTICE)

NEW YORK—With the appointment of Abraham Cahan, editor of the *Jewish Daily Forward,* as its publicity director, the American Labor Party has adopted Yiddish as its official language and Trotzkyism as its political creed. The impudent inclusion of this self-avowed Trotzkyite in the inner circle of New York's radical political bund is just another of those blind, stupid blunders with which David Dubinsky and his ilk plunged his race into the horrors of the Russian pogrom of 1905.

Cahan, who likes to call himself a Socialist—just as the members of the Fourth International call themselves "Socialists"—has often openly expressed his allegiance to Leon Trotzky (Bronstein), despoiler of Russian Christianity and mass murderer of millions. Just recently, in an interview with a reporter of the radical *New York Post,* he termed Stalin "a savage," but Trotzky "an honest man."

Cahan's Trotzkyite sheet, printed in Yiddish like its Stalinist counterpart, the *Jewish Morning Freiheit,* circulates among 200,000 Jewish families in New York City. These misguided Jews are the backbone of the American Labor Party, political organ of the Dubinsky-Hillman-Rose-Waldman-Backer Jewish Workingmans Bund.

This Bund, while not yet officially organized, is a monstrous reincarnation of the Jewish Workingmans Bund of Czarist Russia, whose bloody uprisings precipitated the 1905 pogrom. David Dubinsky, who joined the Russian original when he was but 14 years old, has always cherished a dream of resurrecting the organization in America. Now, with Trotzky and Cahan in the vanguard, this is a *fait accompli.*

Abraham Cahan, the 79-year-old Trotzkyite firebrand, has been engaged in subversive activities in the United States since he escaped from Czarist Russia in 1882. Like his new colleagues, Sidney Hillman and Dubinsky, he was educated in a Talmudic academy, and was a member of a Russian revolutionary society.

Shortly after landing on these shores, he and Morris Hillquit published the Jewish radical newspaper, the *Arbeiter Zeitung (Workers News.)* In 1897 he was made editor of the even more radical *Forward.* Under his guidance, the paper shifted its policy from that of outright Communism to one of camouflaged Marxist propaganda. Its subscribers were mainly the ignorant Jewish immigrants who flooded this country at the turn of the century.

Only Man Who Can 'Scold' Trotzky

Mr. Cahan is the only man in North America from whom Leon Trotzky tolerates criticism of any sort. Probably it was some ambitious dream of his own that prompted him to call Trotzky "an honest bombast." At any rate, Cahan has made certain that he will be as well known as the exiled murderer, when the "revolution" comes to America. He has recently published a five-volume autobiography, which he will soon translate into English.

All Freedom Lost in Red Russia

From the *Catholic Universe Bulletin* of Cleveland, Ohio.

"Communists in the United States ply their trade of making converts to the philosophy of Stalin by glibly proclaiming the freedom that accrues to the masses under the Moscow regime. This insistent misuse of the term of freedom has led gullible people into speaking of Russia as one of the world's democracies. The unholy accord between the Nazis and the Reds has knocked the foundation from under this theory but the undaunted theorizers are busily looking for something else to take its place.

"Russia has had 20 years of Communism and it is interesting to note how free the people really are. Under the Romanoffs the Russians were a religious race. On all sides there were churches and shrines. The Bolsheviks forbade their use when they came into power. It is simply silly to say that people are free when they are forbidden the exercise of their religion.

"The government has closed 129,000 churches; it has torn down one-fourth of them. These nefarious deeds have not been a mandate from the people. Again and again congregations have met in the confiscated churches only to be dispersed by force. After years of persecution they have met in the fields in out-of-the-way country places to hold religious processions. In the face of all of this it is simply adding insult to injury to say that the Russians are free under Stalin.

"How can the 'comrades' and 'fellow-travelers' in America boast of Russian democracy when 40,000 Russian clergy have been murdered and more than 3-million plain citizens been massacred because they did not see eye to eye with the government planning their 'freedom'?

"They have elections in Russia, it is true. But candidates that do not agree with the atheistic principles of Stalin and his rabble are liquidated before election day comes around. Within the last two years 27 out of 68 political candidates for the central committee were executed, 12 of the 23 people's commissars were shot."

Labor and Agriculture

Republicans Groping for a Farm Program

But They Will Miss the Boat If They Don't Study Problem of Money Reform

THE NEWS: Casting about for pegs on which to hang their 1940 campaign, Republican Party leaders rediscovered the perennial farm problem and are groping for a comprehensive program capable of achieving the agricultural prosperity which New Deal experiments have failed to produce.

Toward this end G. O. P. House members have appointed Representative Roy O. Woodruff of Michigan to head a committee of 7 who will explore the possibilities of increasing farm goods consumption by finding new uses for agricultural products.

COMMENT: As far as it goes the Republican intention is commendable, for it throws overboard the New Deal's unsound, unsuccessful policy of crop control. It proposes to obliterate surpluses, not by restricting production and wealth, but by finding new markets and greater wealth. It is an economy of plenty rather than one of scarcity.

That is the need of American agriculture and industry. Efforts should be directed at a greater and more equitable distribution of the products of both agriculture and industry. No overburdening surpluses would exist if all of the 130-million people in the United States were able to buy an adequate share of food, clothing and other necessities, as well as a reasonable amount of the non-essentials which help to make life worth living.

Whether the Republicans intend to rectify the unhealthy condition of maldistribution has not been stated. Creation of new markets, however necessary and salutary, would not alone melt the huge iceberg of surpluses which has grown larger despite 7 years of New Deal crop curtailment. And discovery of new markets would not necessarily mean a restoration of prosperity to farmers.

Along with this program for expansion of markets must come a reform of the dishonest money system which permits private bankers, controlling the Federal Reserve Banks, to govern credit, to lend at interest many times the amount of money they possess. Congress must resume its constitutional authority to coin and regulate money and must establish an honest dollar predicated upon the wealth of the nation. The Government must cease its ridiculous practice of borrowing money from these bankers, making them richer and richer by paying them interest squeezed from the tax-paying public.

The farmer must be guaranteed at least the cost of production for the products of his labor. Wages and Hours legislation and the National Labor Relations Act were put on the statute books for the purpose of aiding the industrial worker. Rights of the urban laborer to fair wages and working conditions thus have been recognized by the Government. But no such advantages have been granted to the farmer, who also is entitled to a fair return on his labor and his investment. Unless he receives a just price for his products, which means cost of production plus a profit, he cannot prosper; and if the farmer cannot prosper neither can the industrial worker, for they are as dependent upon each other as are capital and labor.

REP. WOODRUFF

N.L.R.B. Ruling Shows Authority in Chrsyler Row

THE NEWS: Negotiations for settling the Chrysler-U.A.W. dispute which has kept more than 65,000 Detroit automobile workers idle six weeks, quickened their snail's pace last week.

The National Labor Relations Board terminated one important phase of the controversy by ruling that only one contract must be signed by Chrysler and the union to cover the 11 plants in which the U.A.W.-C.I.O. won bargaining rights in Board elections.

COMMENT: Although as this is written the dispute remains unsettled, and thousands of women and children are made the innocent victims of the needless strike, the Board ruling has clarified one issue. The decision is not a clear-cut victory for either the Corporation or the union, for Chrysler had contended that separate contracts should be signed for each plant. The C.I.O. had clung to the contention that it should have one corporation-wide contract, despite the fact that in two plants—those at Evansville and Kokomo, Ind. —it was defeated in Board elections. The C.I.O. leaders insisted that they should be authorized to represent workers in those two plants regardless of whether the workers themselves want their representation.

Regarding the legal right of the C.I.O. to represent employes of the plants closed as a result of the Detroit dispute, there is no argument. Workingmen need unions, but those unions must be led by men who will not compel or induce laborers to forsake their means of livelihood in senseless strikes.

The Chrysler dispute has cost Detroit auto workers millions of dollars in wages and has crippled earning power of thousands of other men throughout the country who are dependent upon the production and sale of Chrysler products. Still the strike leaders, who continue drawing salaries during the shutdown, have remained adamant. They have refused to put their hungry men back to work.

This strike, bringing nothing but privation to workers and their families, never would have occured had the union and Chrysler followed the Christian principles enunciated by Popes Leo XIII and Pius XI. Those great champions of social justice maintain that it was never intended that women and children should go cold and hungry for 6 long weeks because their misled husbands and fathers have been thrown out of work by the irresponsible actions of radical leaders. Current differences between the C.I.O. and Chrysler could have been mended without a strike, but since they weren't, the Government should have pressured earlier and more forcefully for a settlement.

Dissension in Cabinet Over Trade Treaties

THE NEWS: Secretary of State Hull's proposed trade agreement with Argentina struck a snag when the farm magazine of which Agriculture Secretary Wallace is editor-on-leave published an editorial attacking the proposal.

In addition to this dissention in Administration circles came the opposition of Representative John R. Murdoch of Arizona, usually sympathetic with New Deal measures. Murdoch criticized other proposed trade treaties which would adversely affect the nation's oil and copper producing states.

COMMENT: In all probability, Secretary Wallace was not the author of the editorial criticizing Mr. Hull's proposals. His name, however, appears on the masthead of the publication and therefore it must be assumed the article reflected his views.

It is not often that SOCIAL JUSTICE sees eye to eye with Mr. Wallace, but in this instance it commends the Secretary for his stand, and hopes that whatever influence he still enjoys will be exerted to defeat the Hull plan.

Wallace May Exert Influence

Apparently that is Wallace's intention, inasmuch as copies of the editorial were distributed among bigwigs of the State and Agriculture Departments.

The principal selling point against Hull's proposal, as correctly emphasized in the Wallace sheet, is that such an agreement would allow importation of Argentine farm goods to compete with the products of farmers in this country. It requires no undue tax on the reasoning faculties to understand why the United States, bulging with so-called surpluses, should try to stimulate sales of its own goods and aid its own farmers, rather than effect agreements which would cut more holes in its already battered markets. Income of American farmers cannot be raised to its proper level as long as we allow foreign competition to undersell or even compete in our domestic market with the products of our own farmers.

The purpose of the Hull proposal, stated Wallace's magazine, "goes beyond foreign trade into the realm of international politics. The chief argument for it is that we must have western hemisphere solidarity, that Argentine is the chief obstacle, that we must sweeten her up with a trade agreement even if our cattlemen and dairymen and other farmers suffer somewhat in the process."

Hull Refuses to Face Facts

Hull has tossed off the opposition to his program in the manner of a man who cares not to face facts. He refuses to recognize that importation of foreign farm products constitutes a menace to the agricultural economy of the United States. In a recent letter to Senator Arthur Capper of Kansas he said the charges levelled at his pet brain child were unfair, despite the fact that farm leaders, especially those of the Middle West, have protested vigorously against it.

Industrialists who stand to increase the volume of exports by virtue of the proposed treaty naturally are with Hull. But in so being, they are parading their selfishness, for their profit would be gained only at the expense of the American farmer. Argentine would purchase more machinery and other industrial goods only if duty concessions were made by the United States on Argentine farm products.

Moreover, farmers alone would not bear the brunt of the inequities of the proposed Argentine treaty and other similar programs. As Representative Murdoch pointed out, producers of oil and copper would suffer loss of markets by reduction of duties. Eleven copper companies already have protested to the State Department against lowering of import tariffs on copper from Chile.

As in the case of agriculture, the copper industry is being harassed and retarded by competition from products produced by cheap foreign labor.

Michigan Farm Group Attacks 'Racket' Unions

THE NEWS: Delegates to the convention of the Michigan State Farm Bureau adopted unanimously a resolution empowering Bureau officials to file complaints with the Federal Government against union leaders who have interfered with shipments of farm products.

The resolution termed the union men racketeers seeking to impose arbitrary regulations upon the transportation of farm commodities.

COMMENT: Again, at the risk of being branded "ainti-union," SOCIAL JUSTICE reiterates that certain union leaders exceed the bounds of sane, honest and just unionism, the purpose of which should be to gain for workers fair wages and working conditions.

The charges of the Farm Bureau, if true, reveal another instance in which unscrupulous leaders have distorted the conception of unionism by their extreme actions.

"Michigan eggs," the resolution read, "are now being jeopardized in transit by unions which demand that the drivers and handlers pay a $50 membership fee, with dues of $5 a month. These labor leaders have also issued the ultimatum to Chicago jobbers that Michigan eggs which have been candled and graded under Federal grades and sealed at the point of origin, must be re-opened and re-candled at destination. We consider this arbitrary act on the part of the unions to be a racket and an obstruction of legitimate trade."

Who's Wrong Now?

When President Roosevelt was plugging for enactment of his $3-billion spending-lending bill in the last regular session of Congress he wailed that defeat of the measure would further delay the long expected business upturn.

Last week Charles R. Hook, president of the American Rolling Mill Company, attributed the present upward industrial trend, in part, to defeat of the President's spend-lend concoction.

He told the Monopoly Committee that a new attitude of greater public confidence was largely responsible for improved business conditions and that this was the direct result of: (1) defeat of the spending bill; (2) the Treasury Department's invitation to industry to suggest changes in the tax structure; and (3) the House action in instituting an investigation of the Wagner Act and the National Labor Relations Board.

The Voice of Congress

Either from the *Congressional Record*, or communications directly to SOCIAL JUSTICE, these expressions of your Congressmen and United States Senators are given such deservedly wider distribution which publication in our columns will admit.

—EDITOR.

Influx of Jews Exceeds Quotas

By the Late HON J. WILL TAYLOR
Representative from Tennessee

OF THE 82,998 quota immigrants admitted to the United States during the fiscal year ending June 30, 1939, the racial composition is as follows:

Hebrews	43,450
Italians	6,708
Germans	5,524
English	5,076
Irish	2,968
Scotch	1,968
Scandinavians	1,563
French	2,214
All others	13,525

Are these figures self-explanatory?

Do they reveal a situation to our satisfaction?

In studying the immigration records for the fiscal year 1939, one cannot help noticing the apparent disregard of all quota provisions on the part of the enforcement agencies of the Immigration and Naturalization Service, when we find that 30,096 Hebrews have been admitted from Germany during the past year, a number which alone exceeds the national quota by 2,726!

Representative Taylor Found Dead in Bed

REPRESENTATIVE J. Will Taylor, who delivered the above indictment of immigration quota violation on the floor of Congress, on November 3, 1939, was a veteran battler for sound Americanism. He helped to draft the first law restricting immigration of aliens; in 1934, he was a member of a special committee of Congress to investigate foreign propaganda and three years later he advocated a national registration system for aliens in this country

REP. TAYLOR

Mr. Taylor, who represented his Tennessee district in Congress for 20 years, was an ardent Republican and a leader in the soldiers' cash bonus and pension fights. He stood with social justice enthusiasts on numerous reforms.

Mr. Taylor became ill on the day he returned from Washington to La Follette, Tenn., and next morning was found dead in his bed by his widow. Mr. Taylor's personal physician diagnosed his fatal illness as a heart attack.

American League Founded as Red Front

By NOAH M. MASON
Representative from Illinois

THE REAL STORY of the origin of the American League for Peace and Democracy is as follows: In 1933, Stalin became alarmed at the rise of Fascism in the world. Japan had just gobbled up Manchuria and made a puppet State of it. Hitler had come to power in Germany. Mussolini was rattling his sword in Italy. Stalin saw that inevitably there would be a death struggle with Fascism on one hand and Communism on the other. He called a meeting of the executive committee of the International in Moscow, at which Earl Browder represented the American Communists

At that meeting they discussed the situation confronting Communism and decided to set up what was known as the Popular Front Government in France, Popular Front Government in Spain; but so far as the United States was concerned it was not ready for a popular-front government, but must be moved in that direction by careful work and efforts over a period of time. They decided to set up in America to carry out this purpose what is now known as popular-front organizations, of which the American League for Peace and Democracy with its 4-million members, is the largest and most powerful.

Stalin gave Earl Browder orders to come back to America and establish what was called at that time the American League Against War and Fascism, which has now become the American League for Peace and Democracy. Browder came back to America and commissioned Dr. J. B. Matthews to set up and establish this league here in America for the avowed purpose of bringing about, ultimately, a popular front government in America. That is the origin and that is the primary purpose of this league.

Congress Deserting in Face of Duty

By HON. ERNEST LUNDEEN
Senator from Minnesota

FOR MORE than a score of years, America has faced a severe economic crisis. Our entanglement in the quarrels of Europe has involved us more and more in the foreign affairs of the Old World. Throughout this period, I have constantly opposed adjournment of Congress because we are leaving unfinished tremendous domestic problems such as unemployment, hunger, poverty, and destitution. The farm problem remains unsolved and labor faces an uncertain future. How can we go home now in the midst of all this? With a slight reduction in unemployment that figure still stands at more than 10-million

We are embarking upon the uncertain and dangerous course of a monstrous munition trade—a trade in guns, ammunition, war planes, bombs, and poison gas. We are to be the arsenal for one group of belligerents and we may become the target of other belligerents

These domestic problems and foreign affairs demand a continuous session and our presence in Washington at all times. For us to leave the seat of Government now in the midst of all this confusion and uncertainty of a great foreign and domestic crisis is nothing short of legislative desertion

How They Caught Us in World War

By HON. GERALD P. NYE
U. S. Senator from N Dakota

AFTER the Anglo-French loan we Americans were committed, whether we liked it or not, to the success of the Allied cause.

How far will we go in support of that cause to which we rallied at the time of embargo repeal?

Andre Tardieu, the French Premier, said (of the World War crisis):

"But the increasing volume of Allied needs afforded the Americans almost unlimited trade possibilities. Prices had risen enormously. Profits had swollen tenfold. The Allies had become the sole customer of the United States. Loans the Allies had obtained from New York banks swept the gold of Europe into American coffers

"From that time on, whether desired or not, the victory of the Allies became essential to the United States. The vacillations of Wilson's policy only made this necessity more apparent. The note of the Federal Reserve Board forbidding further loans to the Allies jeopardized the American financial interests as much as it did the fate of the Allies. This note, coming too late or too soon, placed buyers and sellers, borrowers and lenders in equal peril. If deprived of resources, the Allies lost the war, how could their debts be paid and what would their signature be worth? The carefully weighed policy of the President, permitting sales and stopping credits, worked against neutrality and in favor of a break; it worked against Germany and in favor of the Allies. Between the Allies and the American market a common bond of interest had been created." (*Tardieu, Andre. France and America*: pp 150-151.)

That was this famous Frenchman's viewpoint of how we had been caught up by the trade in war munitions to point where we simply could not leave it without jeopardizing our own interests.

C.I.O. Defies Courts and U.S. Navy

By HON. CLARE E. HOFFMAN
Representative from Michigan

THAT THE C.I.O. is still defying the Federal Government, and that its officials have no conception of the absurdity of their position and of their disloyalty, is indicated by a statement in a news dispatch from Detroit, which states that:

"The C.I.O.'s automobile workers' union took issue today with the Navy Department charge that the recent C.I.O. strike against the Bohn Aluminum & Brass Co. in Detroit interfered with the Navy's national-defense program, declaring two union offers to co-operate were ignored

"International President R. J. Thomas of the union, declared that the Navy twice turned down offers made by the union to co-operate."

Since when and from what source has the C.I.O. obtained the right to withhold property that belongs to the United States Navy and to demand that the question of its right to hold that property be a subject matter of negotiation?

We all know that the C.I.O. contended that the sit-down strike was legal—that is, that it had a legal right to take possession of the property of individuals or of corporations and drive fellow employees from their jobs. Even the United States Supreme Court's decision to the contrary has not convinced all those who support the sit-down, and has but changed the sit-down strike of the C.I.O. into the slow-down.

Now, the C.I.O. advances the preposterous proposition that not only may it interfere with the property rights of the individual employer, force the man who would work to pay tribute to it before he can go on his job, but it insists, and, so far, has made good on the proposition, that when the Navy demands patterns belonging to the Navy and which are needed for use in a Government-owned factory it may tell the Navy to "peddle its papers."

The marines and the enlisted personnel of the Navy must be boiling mad and think it rather ridiculous to be building battleships costing millions of dollars and airplanes by the hundreds and then surrendering to a picket line in Detroit.

Bookkeeping Won't Dodge the Deficit

By HON. ROBERT F. RICH
Representative from Pennsylvania

I WANT to call your attention to the statement of October 30, issued by Mr. Morgenthau, a statement of the United States Treasury which is in black, but should be in red. It is not good bookkeeping; it is unethical and it is unsound. He shows that we are in the red as of that day, $1,416,540,868.52. This means that by the end of this fiscal year, or by July 1 of next year, 1940, you are going to be in the red $4-billion. This Administration has had a red balance ever since it has been in office

Let me show you what it is like:

Roosevelt's deficit

March 4, 1933, to June 30, 1933	$ 892,600,000
June 30, 1934	3,409,000,000
June 30, 1935	2,938,000,000
June 30, 1936	4,361,000,000
June 30, 1937	2,707,000,000
June 30, 1938	1,459,000,000
June 30, 1939	3,685,000,000
June 30, 1940 (estimated)	4,000,000,000
	$23,451,600,000

A staggering sum. It will stagger generations for 100 years in America!

"Cash and carry is good for neutrality legislation," it should be our motto in handling the affairs of our own Government. The only thing that will save you from going in the red $4-billion this year is a war boom from Europe, or the fact that you might get into the war, and would then be able to cover up the Treasury statement so badly that you would not know where you are

Our Destiny Lies in This Hemisphere

By HON. JOE HENDRICKS
Representative from Florida

THE DEPARTMENT of Commerce figures indicate that Latin America is an important customer in the world markets. In 1937, the 20 Latin American Republics purchased $1,636,000,000 worth of goods in the world market, or 5.9 per cent of all the world's imports for that year. In the same year these republics sold $2,390,000,000 worth of goods in the world market, or 9.5 per cent of all the world's exports for that year.

In 1937, the United States bought $672-million worth of goods from Latin-America, which was 21.7 per cent of our total imports; and in the same year the United States sold $578-million worth of goods to Latin America, or 17.2 per cent of our total exports.

The future of the United States lies to the South, and if we bend our efforts to effecting closer relationship with Latin America we will never worry about getting entangled with the petty quarrels of old Europe.

Too few of us realize the tremendous potentialities of South America. For example, 1 of the 20 Latin-American Republics alone has an area larger than that of continental United States. That republic is Brazil, which has a population of 45-million.

Take 'Emergency' Out of P.W.A.

By HON. JAMES M. MEAD
Senator from New York

LET US recognize that there always will be fluctuating periods in our national employment and in the cost of construction. Let us resolve to gear our Public Works program to that cycle, so that we will build when prices are low and when men and mills need employment. Let us plan to ease off when men are scarce, when prices are high, and when mills are operating at capacity. That will be the result of intelligent planning—planning that will offer a measure of security to our great labor and industrial resources, and at the same time provide a prudent, practical program at a minimum of public cost

To effectuate this, let us erase the word "emergency" from Public Works Administration. Let this bulwark against unemployment operate on a normal, continuing, businesslike basis. Let P.W.A. examine the worthwhile project applications they now have on hand and evaluate them for use should the country's economy be in need of further aid to speed it toward recovery. Let P.W.A. encourage communities to plan ahead, to have plans prepared so that their most needed public works may be put into prompt construction when the economic cycle begins to swing down. Thus the shock of a depression may be cushioned before it gathers uncontrollable momentum. We should likewise instruct the agency to taper its program when the upswing is felt.

If we take this action, we will have an agency to which Congress may turn at any time and demand a well thought out, well developed program; one that can be put underway within a few days. Congress can then take steps to effectuate it. But this can only be done if we take off the tag and methods of "emergency" and put in their place the integrated, careful development that comes with permanency

Government Can't Have It Both Ways

By HON. J. THORKELSON
Representative from Montana

IT IS WELL for the Government to bear in mind that when industries are destroyed the Government is also destroyed. To accuse our business people of being dishonest, crooked, and not square with the Government is ludicrous. No one dares to deny that these people, who include about nine-tenths of our population, certainly have a right to keep a part of their earnings. There is not one reason in the world why the Federal Government should act like pirates and take the last cent away from them. But that is what the Federal Government is doing

It is high time that business quits or the Federal Government quits. It must be one or the other. It is up to the people themselves to decide if they want to continue a republican form of government, with free operation of industries, or whether they want to change and adopt a dictator, a communistic government, and federally owned and operated business. We cannot have both, so it must be either private ownership of business under our own Government, or it will be Federal ownership of business under centralized government. That is obvious

I want to give business a break so that our idle people may become employed in private industries. For the past 7 years there has been no encouragement to business, and this in itself should be sufficient evidence to Congress that we are a nation without a responsible leader, and it is also my reason for suggesting that Congress resume its rightful position and take charge of the nation's destiny.

Washington

British Propagandists Train Guns On U.S.

Sir Edw. Grigg Announces Intent to Snare Favorable American Opinion

THE NEWS: Washington has learned that official British propagandists intend to direct their efforts to the end that the British cause may *"secure the largest possible measure of publicity"* in the United States.

Sir Edward Grigg, parliamentary secretary to the ministry of information, announced: "It is not the policy of the Ministry of Information to engage in what are called propaganda activities in the U.S.A., but every effort is being made to give American correspondents *the fullest opportunity of studying and assessing our war activities.*"

Referring to Lord Macmillan of the Information Ministry, Sir Edward stated: "It will be his constant endeavor to secure the largest possible measure of publicity in the U.S.A. for such news *as may be released* by the departments concerned and for other information concerning the British war effort."

COMMENT: To this frank statement of objective on the part of Sir Edward Grigg, we say to Americans, merely *"en garde!"*

In this war as in the last, the British must be given ten points for "neatness" in their propaganda effort. The Germans are crude, blundering propagandists. Their efforts are as transparent as a plate glass window. The British, on the other hand, are as subtle as carbon monoxide, and wholly as effective.

The fact that the British deny flatly that they *intend* to engage in propaganda activities in the United States is in itself "pro-British propaganda." It is propaganda intended to disarm our alert minds and make us susceptible to the belief that the British are in the right and in the forefront in the cause of truth.

One-Sided War View Is Aim of British

Bear in mind that Sir Edward Grigg admitted the British government sought to achieve the widest publicity in the United States for such information *as the British wished to reveal.* At the same time the British will spare no effort to prevent publicity in America for that which they do *not* wish to reveal. They would give us a one-sided, pro-British view of the war, and they subtly, cleverly and guardedly admit that they would.

To the propaganda of both sides, we say, "into the wastebasket!" The United States is neutral. We are not neutral in thought as the president suggested, but we are neutral in national policy and law—or are supposed to be. Therefore, let us weigh every war story in the press or on the air in the scale of common sense. Let us remember that both sides seek to influence us with their cause. Let us above all remember that the British have admitted they seek the *very best publicity* for their side of the war, and are going to great lengths to obtain it.

Thus, forearmed, we can do much to level the hysterical, pro-British, anti-German propaganda that threw us into the last war on the side of the Allies, with such disastrous results.

COMRADE OUMANSKY

Shady Soviet Envoy Returns to Washington

THE NEWS: Constantine Oumansky, Russian ambassador to the United States, felt an official chill in official Washington this week upon his return after a three-month absence in Russia.

Oumansky, identified before the Dies Committee as a former member of the terroristic Soviet secret police, or OGPU, refused to answer questions asked by reporters on this point. He protested that to do so would be "beneath my dignity."

Although he has survived many political blood purges in the land of the Soviet, Oumansky objected that *"I am not prepared to answer political questions,"* when reporters questioned him on Russia's conquest in Poland, her terroristic domination of Estonia, Latvia and Lithuania and her pressure on Finland.

COMMENT: It is heartening to observe that official Washington at last is finding something wherewith to condemn Russia, even if all that it can find is the much-discussed Soviet pact with Nazi Germany.

The very idea of a member of the OGPU holding the post of ambassador in the United States is revolting, whether that OGPU membership be present or past. The OGPU is the *Gestapo* of Russia, more brutal, more deadly than anything of Hitler's creation.

Warm Welcome From Roosevelt

When Oumansky came to the United States last spring he was given the welcome of a long-lost friend. President Roosevelt wrote him a gracious letter, in which he said:

"It has given me deep satisfaction to hear from you of the *feeling of genuine friendship* which the peoples of the Soviet Union have for the American people, a feeling which you are assured *is fully reciprocated.*

"I have noted your statement that the purpose of your mission is to *strengthen and develop friendly cooperation between our two countries.* You may be sure that you will enjoy *my full support,* as well as *every assistance* of the Government of the United States in your efforts to achieve that purpose . . . Please convey to the government of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics *my hopes* for the continued *peace and prosperity of the Soviet Union.*"

It is interesting now to note that the "genuine friendship" felt toward America by the Soviet has resulted in Stalin's inspiring Earl Browder to promise a quick Communist revolution for America, that she may become a Russian colony.

'Friendship' Backfires Browder Promises Revolt

It is interesting also to note that America's "reciprocation" of that friendship has encouraged the nation which has driven God out of Christian Poland, and which has menaced with brute force each of the Baltic countries. The same reciprocal feeling of friendship has encouraged Browder and his bolshevik horde to menace American freedom, religion and industry.

Furthermore, President Roosevelt's "full support" of Oumansky's efforts to develop "friendly co-operation" between the United States and Russia, has been "full support" given to a nation which embraced the Nazidom of Germany, which Mr. Roosevelt hates—justly enough. That "full support" must have encouraged Russia as her brutal dictator ordered the conquest of the Baltic.

Molotov Insults 'Good Friend' of Soviet Nation

And President Roosevelt's hope for "continued peace and prosperity of the Soviet Union," is a hope expressed for the success of Comrade Molotov's promise of world revolution. Ironically enough, it was Molotov who insulted Mr. Roosevelt when the President asked Russia to refrain from disturbing relations with Finland.

Again it is pertinent to ask: "How much longer are we to permit a conspiracy against the American Republic in our midst? How long will we tolerate a Communist Party which seeks our destruction? How long will we give commercial assistance to a nation which exists only to destroy us, and our kind throughout the world?"

Comrade Oumansky, the OGPU agent, might answer these questions if it weren't "beneath his dignity."

Borah Rejects Straight G.O.P. Vote Appeal

THE NEWS: Senator William E. Borah, veteran Republican Congressional leader, in commenting on an appeal made to Republicans to vote a straight party ticket in 1940, stated that "decent politics" could survive in this country only through independent voting.

The appeal to the nation's Republicans was delivered by John D. M. Hamilton, Republican national chairman, who expressed the viewpoint that only through concerted Republican action could the G.O.P. hope for victory.

"I recognize that Mr. Hamilton's view is the orthodox view, politically speaking," said Senator Borah, "but I regard the independent voter as the real salvation of decent politics in the country.

"Under our system, it seems to me that we must have political parties, and parties invariably tend toward centralization of political power and toward corruption. The only remedy there really is for the evils of the party system is the independent voter . . . It is the business of every political party to make such a record, and keep such a record as will win the independent vote."

COMMENT: Senator Borah's remarks need little amplification. He has placed a critical finger on the abuses of political party-ism, a curse of democracy. More power to him in his forthright courage.

Slavish party voters are not exercising their voting franchise as was intended. A political party is nothing more than a high pressure organization, seeking to obtain a franchise to govern the country according to a selfish pattern for a period of two or four years. As Senator Borah suggests, only independent voting can remedy this.

No Profit In War

Will American industry profit from the present war, under the raised embargo? J. H. Van Deventer, editor of *Iron Age*, says not. He bases his conclusion on three facts:

1. Europe is broke and cannot get credit. In 1914, London was the world's money capital and the pound went to $7. Today the United States is the money capital, and the pound, now at $4, is still slipping.

2. In 1914, Europe could not produce its own war needs. But for the last 5 years America has been shipping machine tools to the Allied nations. The nations have become more self-sufficient.

3. For the past three years the combatants have been "too poor" to pay interest or principal on their debts to us. Yet they have been spending $20-billion yearly for arms. That leaves little cash for purchases here, now.

Mr. Van Deventer warns American industry not to over-expand in anticipation of war orders; there just won't be any.

War Nations Still Owe Us $14½-Billion

THE NEWS: Armistice day may come, and Armistice day may go, but the war debts of both the Allies and the Central Powers of the World War go unpaid and unheeded by those who contracted them.

This was the feeling in Washington November 11, as Treasury Secretary Henry Morgenthau, Jr. computed that—collectively—the European nations still owe us a total of $14,497,161,340.22.

With the old power camps at war again, a war for commercial and imperialistic supremacy, chances of eventual payment of these debts seemed very slight indeed.

COMMENT: The individual debts of the individual nations stand as indicated below. They should constitute sufficient reason for the United States Government to remain aloof from war; to stand clear of any act that would lead to war, with dire financial consequences for this country:

The debts:

Great Britain	$5,497,069,379.48
France	4,180,628,819.88
Italy	2,024,150,441.19
Germany	1,259,870,431.25
Armenia	23,803,104.11
Belgium	453,324,480.11
*Czechoslovakia	165,762,044.80
Estonia	21,029,440.02
**Finland	8,233,157.56
*Austria	26,011,672.09
Greece	34,523,635.29
Hungary	2,388,730.08
Latvia	8,668,365.93
Lithuania	7,760,608.08
Poland	263,166,398.70
Rumania	63,999,476.67
Russia	394,992,092.46
Yugoslavia	61,779,062.52

*Now charged to Germany.

**Only nation to honor its debt, Finland has made annual payments.

When the American Government sought to collect these debts, or to collect at least the interest on them, it was dubbed "Uncle Shylock" by the debtor nations. This multi-billion indebtedness is an eloquent, if mute, testimony of the futility of European war, and our part in it.

Naturally, the debts will never be paid, for after the conclusion of the present chaos abroad, there will be more and greater debts. The cost of the last European war is being borne by *every American taxpayer.* The cost of the next likewise would be fastened about our necks were we to co-operate with the competing powers, or to oppose one set of enemies.

Let us stay clear of war, and of every act that leads to war!

Letters

(We aim to make this a free forum and readers' opinions are invited. Naturally the views expressed are not always those of this magazine.—EDITOR.)

Let Us Give Thanks

TO THE EDITOR:

As Americans, we have more to be thankful for than any other people on earth.

We read about actual warfare abroad and about religious persecutions and race prejudices in certain countries; we live in a world in which one nation distrusts another; we live in a world in which almost every nation is increasing armaments to such an extent that in time it may bring world destruction.

Here in the United States we live in peace and safety. One can speak and worship as he pleases. We have political and economic freedom.

In 1621, the Pilgrims gave thanks to God for His mercies. Today, the people who live under the United States flag have much more to be thankful for than those few souls who gathered on that cold winter day over three hundred years ago.

The world is in a turmoil today because man has drifted from the spiritual ideals to material ideals. To insure lasting peace and justice all must get back to the spirit of God.

This truly would be a great Thanksgiving if all would spend a little time to give thanks to Him Who made all things possible.

—Bernard Florack

Rochester, N. Y.

Police Dept. Civilians

TO THE EDITOR:

An article in the November 6th issue of SOCIAL JUSTICE entitled, *"Commissar Kern Is At It Again,"* tended to give an impression that all "civilians" appointed to the New York Police Department would be racketeers, Reds or sympathizers with them, and that they would purposely destroy important records and documents.

While I am not a defender of Commissioner Paul Kern, it hardly seems necessary to point out that civil service examinations are not barred to Christians and that our Catholic colleges and high schools graduate men who are fully equipped to compete in any examination offered by Commissioner Kern. That they do compete, and successfully, is proven by the fact that among our "civilian" employes we have such graduates. May I point out that it seems a shame to "tar" these fine men and women for the purpose of hitting at Mr. Kern.

I have been a civilian employe of the Police Department with the title of clerk for more than 12 years. I am a product of a Catholic grammar school and high school. Further, I am president of the Police Department Civilians Organization with the proper opportunities for finding out who are and who are not Communists. There are fewer than 10 Communists among our civilians. The total number of civilian employes in the department at this time is 1,016.

I might also say that Reds exist among the uniformed force and in practically every other city department, particularly in the Department of Welfare where they are strongly entrenched.

Furthermore, the only notice issued for the holding of the police lieutenants' examination states the examination will be held *Friday and Saturday*, November 17 and 18.

—Frederick Q. Wendt
President, Police Dept. Civilians

Far Rockaway, N. Y.

Two Names Mis-Listed

TO THE EDITOR:

I have received reliable information as to two mistakes in the Teachers *Union* story of November 13 issue of SOCIAL JUSTICE; namely, Prof. Howard Selsam, marked "Jew" is Gentile and Teresa Wolfsom, marked "Gentile" is a Jewess, married to Austin Wood, Gentile, who is also on the list.

—J.P.

New York City

Victims of Britain

TO THE EDITOR:

The old proverb *"Where ignorance is bliss, it is folly to be wise"* seems most appropriate in dealing with your correspondent of Polish descent, who in the October 23rd edition of SOCIAL JUSTICE has attacked you most viciously for daring to publish historical facts for the enlightenment of those individuals who love to fool themselves into the belief that England never made war on any nation other than those whose inhabitants were uncivilized barbarians.

Evidently the fellow has not yet discovered that there are on the map such places as North America, South Africa and India—all victims of English greed and aggression, and, of course, he has never heard of a place called Ireland, another victim of British piracy.

—Sean O'Celleigh

Gardner, Mass.

With Unclean Hands

TO THE EDITOR:

It is amazing how nation after nation who have been guilty of committing repeated crimes against other nations are ever ready to cast that proverbial stone. Investigation shows that the imperial nations have committed crimes against other nations under the false pretense of virtue that are unpardonable, and their victims have always been those too small to defend themselves.

I am amazed and grieved to find our own country lined up with those other countries branded with the mark of Cain. The international bankers with the assistance of our Government have ennobled these sins to an unbelievable measure.

International finance is the common enemy of the human race.

—Louis Dilger

Hyattsville, Md.

Reading the Record

TO THE EDITOR:

I hang my head in shame when I think of the two Senators from my State, Barbour and Smathers, who voted for the repeal of the arms embargo.

Of course, Smathers, a Democrat and War Deal adherent, was expected to vote as his masters directed him; but Barbour, a Republican, forsook his constituents and joined the War Deal Administration and its yes men.

—Archie Anderson

Closter, N. J.

She Won't Need Men!

TO THE EDITOR:

Now that the United States is being flooded with war propaganda, I suggest that you give us a page in SOCIAL JUSTICE magazine concerning the propaganda, and that it be titled *"Debunking the War Propaganda."*

As you know, one side has almost complete control of all news—the English side. Surely there must be other information available.

For instance, what is this rumor about England calling no more men to the colors because she cannot supply them? France has about 3½-million men under arms; England has less than 200,000 soldiers in France, if the reports are correct. Why? We should very much like to know.

—Cannon Fodder

Baltimore, Md.

French Empire Loyal

TO THE EDITOR:

In view of Father Coughlin's severe attack against the French Empire, may I give an analysis of the reactions to the present war coming from the latter and given by the highly-reputed Catholic magazine *Etudes:*

The Sultan of Morocco ordered a special letter to be read to all Mosques announcing to his people that the protected Empire is, as protector France, in a state of war with Germany and Moroccan population is accordingly invited to give his complete and loyal support.

His Majesty, the Emperor of Annam, in two messages to his people, the King of Cambodge, the Sovereign of Louang-Prabang pledged the loyalty of their country.

Numerous telegrams in the same sense came from representative personalities in Tonkin, Madagascar, Comores and Africa. But these are only official manifestations. Conscription (where it applies), has been accepted without any protests. The second son of the King of Cambodge, Prince Sisovath Monivong, has volunteered in aviation.

The inhabitants of Cameroon and Togo, former German colonies, have acclaimed France and asked to enlist. The chiefs of Togo, in particular, said they were ready to serve France "as a soldier serves his Fatherland, as a missionary his God, with a spirit of sacrifice without limits."

From Syria, formerly in turmoil and from the profoundly friendly Liban came proofs of loyalty beyond expectations and with them many enlistments.

Relying upon your sense of fairness, I hope you will print this letter.

—Robert Lavillain

Boston, Mass.

Accolade of Persecution

TO THE EDITOR:

I wish to warn those, particularly the Polish people, who have become easy prey to poisonous propaganda. Those who are afflicted by this most terrible disease "Believe everything you hear and read" are the devil's most reliable servants.

Epithets loosely hurled at Father Coughlin should not be swallowed. We should always remember that these propagandists are only the descendants of those who turned the people's minds against Christ when they shouted "Crucify Him!" In drawing this analogy, I am not stating that Father Coughlin is equal to Christ—I am merely stating that if the people's minds can be poisoned against God, the Lord and Master of all, how much easier it is for the propagandists to smear a mere man like Father Coughlin who has no divine power.

People, wake up! Haven't you learned anything from Christ's death? Haven't you had 1900 years time in which to learn something?

—Clemant Smallan

Detroit, Mich.

It Is Far Past Time

TO THE EDITOR:

Since alien Communists cannot be deported because it would "violate our Constitution," why is it that we American-born boys can be deported to foreign battlefields when we are conscripted after war has been declared?

If we are such fools as to permit war lords to lead us into foreign soil while those foreigners who would destroy our Government by force are permitted to remain at home, then is it not time to unite the American-born boys into an organization that will fight—not for international profiteers—but for God and country?

Our blood is for America and we are ready to shed it as did our fathers before us—but not to saturate European battlefields. "War is hell," so let those who want hell, go there. If the war mongers want blood, let them cut their own throats.

Doesn't the United States Constitution protect American boys?

—Francis Hartin

E. Hartford, Conn.

Champions Michael Quill

TO THE EDITOR:

You New York staff correspondent in the October 30 edition of SOCIAL JUSTICE viciously attacks Michael Quill who happens to be a personal friend of this writer. We were school boys together and we helped to fight the black and tans in Ireland. We left Ireland, not because we were Communists, but like thousands of our countrymen, to escape England's oppression.

Your New York writer also states that Quill worked for the B.M.T. His first job was with the I.R.T., working 12 hours at night down in the subway, not a desirable job but the best he could get. His experience fighting oppression in his home country made his sympathy go out to his fellow workers and he decided to do something to better their working conditions. The result of his efforts are seen today in the Transport Workers Union.

You also state that Quill is a friend of Mayor LaGuardia; why not? The mayor is the best friend New York labor has ever had, both in Congress and as mayor of New York!

—Denis J. Healy

New York City

Good for Massachusetts!

TO THE EDITOR:

The wonderful vote recorded by the Senators and Congressmen of the State of Massachusetts against the repeal of the arms embargo should not go unnoticed by friends of social justice.

The record shows that out of two Senators and 17 Congressmen in the whole state, only four—three Democrats and one Republican—voted for the repeal of the Embargo.

This is a record that we should be proud to acclaim to the country at large. Senator David I. Walsh and Senator Cabot Lodge worked with all their might to prevent the United States from taking the fools' gold.

Massachusetts with 700 factories already equipped to turn out war orders which would surely follow, refused to sell out for material gains and kept faith with God and country.

The vote certainly reflects credit on the people of our state.

—Frank McBurnie

Somerville, Mass.

Home From Hell

TO THE EDITOR:

Twenty-five years ago I took part as a soldier of a German Infantry Regiment in a battle on the Yser Canal, near the coast of Flanders. We captured a number of French officers and soldiers, and I was detailed to escort them back of the lines. However, the French just then laid an artillery barrage on our position and counter-attacked. A shell struck near our small group killing a number of prisoners and severely wounding a French captain and myself.

The German troops had to fall back, and due to circumstances, the two of us were left in "no man's land." After two days we were found and brought to the German side. My French comrade died on this trip.

Later, I was told by doctors and Red Cross men that the shells which hit our group were made in the United States (at that time neutral), identification being made by fragments and unexploded shells.

I have been here over 17 years and an American citizen for 11 years. As a man I do not indulge in besmirching the memory of my mother-country, and as an American, I do not think it is my business or that of anyone else to meddle in connection with what kind of government Germany should have.

I do not believe that anyone can honestly say, in the name of humanity, that he hates war and at the same time, sells implements of war to any country at war.

Every front-line soldier of the World War has hoped that it would be the last one, at least for our generation. We have lost that hope now, and all we can do is to try to save the American youth from the things we went through.

No matter how it ends and how glorious it may be to some who did not partake—war is hell!

—An Old Fronthog

Chicago, Ill.

The Myth of Democracy

TO THE EDITOR:

The democratic will of the people for neutrality and against lifting the embargo was briskly brushed aside—Congress gave the answer in no uncertain terms. We know now that there is no democracy: there is only a dictatorship of money.

The dictatorial will of a one-man pressure group was made the law of the land.

—S. S. Schutte

Lincoln, Nebr.

Our Historic Position

TO THE EDITOR:

Just after the spineless repeal of the embargo on arms by our time-serving Congressmen, Mr. Roosevelt was reported to have said: "I am very glad that the bill has restored the historic position of neutrality of the United States."

Precisely. It has restored our "historic" position of "neutrality" to the status of 1915-16. Now watch the great humanitarian bring forth the third term campaign slogan of "he kept us out of war."

Then six months after the 1940 elections (which, by the way, will be the last elections), observe our young boys boarding transports, bound for the trenches of Europe, this time to make the world safe for atheistic Communism.

—William Lee Stone

St. Louis, Mo.

Fooling the People

TO THE EDITOR:

Judging from the editorials in local papers, it seems to be one of the tricks of the trade to write one way one day, and then to write the opposite way the next. Readers of SOCIAL JUSTICE Magazine should be alert to this trickery.

For instance, one day Frank Kent opposes the Administration most flagrantly and the next day he supports it as ardently as he denounced it the previous day.

It seems to be the idea that the people can be fooled by this method. They think that if the public is convinced of Kent's opposition to the Administration one day and his support of it the next, the public will make up its mind that Kent must be all right. Then when the Administration wants certain legislation, such as the embargo repeal—and Kent supports it, the public will also support it, believing that Kent is independent.

—K. J. M.

Cincinnati, Ohio

True Life Serial

Joseph Strawman, Manufacturer

By Frank Conley

Chapter V—Conclusion

THE EXTENT to which we are bound up with England can best be surmised by the vast empire of insurance their financiers have built up in this country and throughout the world. Joseph Strawman, the patriotic and independent manufacturer, has found English money at the controls in many ramifications of the insurance business in this country. And in allowing some United States insurance firms and politicians to cut in on their foreign markets in time of peace, they expect our country to protect their investments in time of war. It's a good bargain, and it has worked for them before!

It has occurred to Mr. Strawman that our 40-odd billion dollars of national indebtedness is not owed entirely to "each other." Nor is it all "in the family." 2½ per cent interest on $40-billion of borrowed money amounts to $1-billion a year. And there is a strong suspicion in Strawman's mind that a good portion of this finds its way to England.

Most of us know these things, but do not seem to be able to do anything about it. Now, Strawman has something definite to offer that can sever the ties that bind us to England and subservience.

First, *he would make insurance a non-profit institution!*

Taking the profits and power out of insurance would certainly shut out any trace of English "interference." And, instead of the "reserves" going over there, they would rest in the hands of the United States Government, he argues. What better reserve fund could we have than a guarantee or a "promise to pay" by our own national Government?

We could, he contends, have straight Government insurance or insurance by private companies under a non-profit charter by the United States Government.

Low cost life insurance, automobile, household and other insurance would release many millions of dollars' worth of consumer purchasing power. At the same time low-cost casualty and other insurance would reduce operating costs of the manufacturer, wholesaler and retailer and permit them to reduce prices.

Government Bank and 2 Per Cent Interest

But insurance is only one of the three conspicuous evils. Strawman would tackle the other two—interest and taxes—just as determinedly. His second step would be against interest.

Billions of dollars now being paid out in interest could be cut to the bone by the establishing of a Government-owned United States Bank.

He believes that business loans at a 2 per cent rate would be a paying proposition to the proposed United States Bank; 2 per cent loans to manufacturers, wholesalers and retailers would again reduce their operating costs and allow for reduced prices.

And to increase buying power, a 2 per cent limit on mortgages, and direct loans to consumers at 2 per cent, with their real estate as security, would release many more millions of dollars to industry.

Strawman's proposed bank would not borrow money from bankers, insurance companies or wealthy individuals. Its financing would be of the "self-liquidating" nature, and money would be issued against real estate and approved business ventures, as private bankers have done for hundreds of years.

But Strawman would not stop there. His idea is to make business really good. To create even more purchasing power he would take still a third step. It's a fight to the finish on our old enemy—taxes. He would advocate all homesteads and dwelling places, within certain limits, being made conditionally tax-free!

Would Take Tax Off People's Homes

You can imagine the untold millions of dollars of consumer purchasing power released to industry in this event. And it can be done, the manufacturer maintains, without sacrificing any police, fire or health protection. The deficiency in homestead taxes would be made up by a greater volume of sales taxes, amusement taxes, internal revenue taxes, permits, licenses and other excise taxes. There would be bigger and better income taxes and profits taxes among others.

There would still be enough real estate taxes against office buildings, theatres, factories, stores, hotels, railroads, institutions and other business buildings and revenue producing property. There would be vehicle taxes, auto licenses, tavern and cigar store and other storekeeper permits. The water system could be financed by metered water and the courts could be financed by court costs, fines and other fees. And, if nesessary, a school tax could be substituted.

Local political machines, of course, with their padded payrolls and graft-ridden office holders, would suffer almost to their deaths from such a shock. And if they say it can't be done they could open their books to a United States Civil Service auditor. The auditor would find that salaries of the police, fire, health and school systems are not as big in proportion to the amount of interest and insurance paid out by local governments. City, county and state governments could be refinanced by the proposed United States Bank at 2 per cent, and they could get Government insurance at cost. And more billions of dollars would be turned into purchasing power.

That's the outline of Strawman's war on the triple threat trio—interest, insurance and taxes!

The rest is politics.

Private Bankers Will Never Agree

An important side issue of such a program would be the enforcing of honesty into local politics. With Uncle Sam financing local governments and furnishing insurance at cost he would also furnish watch dogs in the form of United States Civil Service auditors under the jurisdiction of the Comptroller General. Enforced honesty would follow.

Private bankers with "hordes of idle billions" waiting in vain to put it out for home consumption at from 6 per cent to 36 per cent, would find it more profitable to have it in action at 2 per cent. "Perhaps, they'd sooner have a war to make the world safe for 6 per cent," observes Strawman. They'll put up a good fight before they'll accept peace terms of 2 per cent.

But—

With non-profit insurance, with mortgages and all interest rates established at 2 per cent, and with tax-free homesteads and dwelling places—

You can watch the price structure unravel!

Rents would come down. Ernest Workman's home, which was financed by his landlord with a $4,000 mortgage at 6 per cent, has been costing $240 a year, or $20 a month, to carry. At 2 per cent it would be $80 a year, or less than $7 a month. There would be little or no taxes. And non-profit fire insurance on the house would cost less than $2 a month. Workman's landlord would have his fixed expenses cut from $30 a month to less than $10. And Workman's rent could be cut in half.

Fred Whitecollar, who owns his own place, would have the 6 per cent interest on his $5,000 mortgage cut from $300 a year, or $25 a month, to 2 per cent, or $100 a year. Or $8.33 a month. And his insurance would be cut to less than $2 a month. His monthly payments would be cut from $35 a month to around $11 or $12.

Would Eliminate Wholesale Business

Both the Workman and Whitecollar households, and many more millions like them, would have more money with which to buy things. And with prices lowered, their money would have more effect. With lower operating costs, each agency in the distribution system can work on shorter discounts and still make their legitimate profits.

And—best of all—Strawman and other manufacturers could "eliminate the wholesale house." If a manufacturer can secure adequate financial backing from the proposed United States Bank at a low interest rate, he doesn't need the financial help of the wholesale house. Adequately financed manufacturers can produce their fall goods in the summer and warehouse them until the dealers are ready to buy.

Strawman's *Electro Magnetic Mouse Trap* would still cost 16-2/3c to make. There would be no reduction in the wages of labor. But with reduced operating costs and the elimination of the wholesaler, he could sell direct to the dealer at a discount of about 33-1/3 per cent.

He could reduce his retail price from $1 to 60c, and sell his article to the dealer for 40c. He'd get about 37c net after the dealer deducted his 2 per cent cash discount and Strawman paid his salesman a 5 per cent commission. And that would leave a gross profit of about 20c, instead of the 25c profit he required before.

In round figures, that's reducing prices 40 per cent, without reducing wages or salaries. The manufacturer and dealer would make just as much profit in dollars and cents, if not more, because of the increased turnover of goods. And with lower rents, lower interest and insurance charges, and lower real estate taxes allowing more billions of dollars to flow into lower priced goods we'd have just about what the doctor ordered.

But to get back to our every day lives and the struggle to make both ends meet. Strawman re-reads that part of the 1936 Democratic Platform:

"We will act," it says, **"to secure to the consumer fair values, honest sales and a decreased spread between the price he pays and the price the producer receives."**

These, the investigator finds, are the "words of a braggart and the actions of a coward." It just can't be done without a resolute fight on interest, insurance and homestead taxes—*or a complete reform in the money system.*

Enlistments must be recruited to take up where Strawman has left off. Recruits who will go into their neighbor's home to spread the truth about high prices and low purchasing power, and the things that must be done to overcome them.

And, unless we are not concerned about becoming more deeply involved with England and her wars, it behooves us to get in on the movement to end a foreign insurance and interest racket in the United States.

SOCIAL JUSTICE herewith concludes the fictional biography of *"Joseph Strawman, Manufacturer."* The series has been the true life account of an experienced American business man's battle with "the system." His discovery of and combat with exploiting profiteers, and his conclusions about what ought to be done to correct their exploitation are, quite understandably, his own. His suggested solutions are based upon his practical experience rather than economic theory.

—THE EDITOR

Archbishop Schrembs Told It Was 'Political Rally'

From *The Shield*
Cincinnati, Ohio

"Enemies of Father Coughlin miss no opportunities to attempt to throw discredit upon him. On the occasion of his recent appearance in Cleveland, Ohio, to address a mass meeting on peace, the secular press reported the absence of the Most Reverend Joseph Schrembs, Archbishop of Cleveland, from the meeting and interpreted this as a sign that the head of the Cleveland diocese had disapproved of Father Coughlin's address. However, the *Catholic Universe Bulletin*, official paper of the Cleveland diocese, announced that Archbishop Schrembs had decided to remain away from the meeting *because he understood that it was to take on the nature of a political gathing*, but that ***'Father Coughlin's manuscript was submitted to the Archbishop and he praised it highly as a masterful effort to maintain righteous peace and hoped it would be successful in achieving its end.'***"

The War in Europe Is a Financial War

By REV. JOSEPH DEISZ

THE WAR in Europe is waged between opposing armies in the finance struggle. On one side are the governments that broke loose from the stranglehold that international finance, largely Jewish, once maintained; and on the other side, the moneyed interests who are determined that their hold shall not be broken. The Money-Molochs, the internationalist financiers, have lost their rapacious business in Germany, Spain, Italy, Austria, Bohemia, Poland and Slovakia.

They will not surrender this financial world-reign without a struggle. They are now determined to fight Germany to the last drop of British, French and American blood. They will furnish the cash to buy implements of war in the United States to arm their servile states — France, England and others, in order to maneuver the world into a calamitous, war from which they expect to emerge even more powerful, to the fateful detriment of Christian civilization.

To state that England and France "fight for Christian civilization" is simply absurd. As dupes of the internationalist Money-Molochs, they wage war for the maintenance of the insane, usurious and murderous money-system which dominates the world and poisons every department of human life and ***which has created that "disorder and inequality from which arises the accumulation of the wealth of nations in the hands of a small group of individuals who manipulate the market of the world at their own caprice, to the immense harm of the masses."*** (Pius XI, *Caritate Christi Compulsi.*)

We all bemoan Poland's tragedy. We all hope that after her new martyrdom she will rise again, as Cardinal Hlond said in Rome, *"purior et vegetior,"* more purified and more vigorous! Like Ireland, she will save herself, relying, not on the assistance of Judeocratic allies, but on the genius and heroism of her sons.

Yes, and the Judaized financiers want us to be their cat's paw. Wickham Steed, a former editor of the *London Times*, wrote as follows in his book *"The Hapsburg Monarchy:"* "Their ideal seems to be the maintenance of Jewish international influence as a veritable *imperium in imperiis.* Dissimulation of their real objects has become to them a second nature, and they deplore and tenaciously combat every tendency to place the Jewish question frankly on its merits before the world.

"In reality, they wish to maintain, whatever the cost, a world domination which seems in the act of escaping them, and for that they will recoil from nothing, not even a war, which, moreover, we will make, and of which they will reap the benefits. It was that which failed to be produced in September last."

(See *"Britain's Jewish Problem,"* by M. G. Murchin.)

Even Verbosity Can't Conceal Cause of World's Fair Flop

IN A RECENT ISSUE of *Editor and Publisher,* Mr. Perley Boone, director of press from the New York World's Fair, takes pen in hand to explain just what went wrong on Flushing Meadows. It is now possible, says Mr. Boone in that article, to "weigh abstractedly (sic) the problems of publicity and public relations connected with the exposition."

Mr. Boone's explanation is somewhat verbose. As he admits, "the chimerical evolutions of an exposition come with such kaleidoscopic rapidity that it is difficult to write sanely . . ." But it all boils down to a conclusion that the management of the fair blundered in relying on the free publicity which it received in "tons." It would have been wise, thinks Mr. Boone, to have balanced this uncontrolled and uncontrollable promotion with paid advertising designed to counteract the "bad" stories of $1.25 hamburgers and $9.00 meals.

This sounds reasonable. And another point, not mentioned by Mr. Boone, is that advertisers are less likely than promoters to write "abstractedly" — meaning "inattentive, preoccupied or oblivious"—when the word they mean is abstractly.

India

(Continued from Page 9)

It must be remembered that the Rajahs and Maharajahs who rule native princedoms "independently" are able to ride their magnificent elephants only because British guns are ready to defend them against their often rebellious subjects. In witness whereof, the House of Sassoon and the Bank of England receive their usual modest percentage

Another factor, not too commonly known, must also be held in constant view: Moslem religious allegiance to the British crown. This is something that Russian, German and other political agents have failed to break down in the Mohammedan world — North Africa, the Near East and India. Despite acrimonious dissension, the various sects of Mohammedanism stand firm on the agreement that they owe allegiance to the sovereign of the nation whose borders contain the majority of the world's Mohammedans. He is now the King of England and Emperor of India—and no Jihad, or Holy war can be waged against him.

II. Years of Promises

On August 20, 1917, in the House of Commons, Secretary of State for India Montagu promised, as we have said, self-government in return for India's war-time loyalty. (Similar promises were made both to the Jews and Arabs in regard to Palestine). What happened? London sent a series of "expert commissions" to determine how independence might be put into effect.

One body visited India in 1925. A member, Sir Wilfred Scawen Blunt, lived up to his surname by stating: *"What was bad 25 years ago is worse now. Famines and plagues are facts no official statistics can explain away. Though myself a good Conservative, I own to be shocked at the bondage in which the Indian people are held, especially from drugs . . ."*

No such incendiary statement was made by the Simon Commission, which went slumming in the peninsula in 1928. But the following year Viceroy Lord Irwin called Gandhi and other Indian leaders to a Round Table Conference in London to determine if India should enjoy dominion status, like Canada. The Indians said they had "lived for forty centuries without hearing of Great Britain" and refused the ante.

However, "no official statistics could explain away" the rising anger of the people and in 1935 Parliament passed a Government of India Act, providing for provincial autonomy (and a Federal government eventually). The autonomy became effective in 1937, in eleven provinces, comprising a little more than half (272-million) or India's population. *In other words, the reform applied only to British India—not to the hundreds of states still governed by native rulers whose very lives are insured by the House of Sassoon and the Christian emperor's artillery.*

III. Gandhi's Dilemma

On October 17, last, after repeated conferences with Gandhi, the British Viceroy declared:

"Britain's policy is ultimately to give India dominion status. But *discussion of the stages by which this is to be attained must wait for conclusion of the European war."*

It was in reply to this flat challenge that Gandhi evoked the possibility of open rebellion and effected the resignation of the provincial governments of Bombay, Madrasm Orissa, Bihar, Assam, and the United, Central and Northwest Frontier provinces

Gandhi's hand was weakened by the partisan rivalry of the Moslems and other autonomous parties. Gandhi had said: "If Great Britain fights for . . . democracy . . . she must end imperialism in her own possessions. . . . the Indian people must have the right of self-determination to frame their own constitution . . ."

The Moslems made an independent plea for "Mussulman justice and fair play," to the exclusion of the Congress Party's platform, and four of the independent parties wailed: "If the All-India Congress really believed in democracy, it would not slight other parties . . . To insist that the Congress is the one and only political organization . . . is to destroy the foundations of Indian democracy."

In the light of which it was possible for the Marquess of Zetland, present Secretary for India, to state complacently:

"We are pledged under our treaties and engagements *(sic)* with the Princes to afford them protection against aggression from without and rebellion from within. We cannot treat these pledges as scraps of paper . . ."

Lord Zetland was well advised when he spoke of "aggression from without." A "little bird" has reported the massing of Jo Stalin's troops in Sinkiang and near the Northwest Frontier. A Celestial peacock has rumored it that the Mikado's army and navy are expanding their police work in the regions where the dawn comes up like thunder.

Perhaps, after licking the Germans and Russians and Japanese, the Imperial government will consider dominion status for India. But then again, maybe there won't be any India by that time.

The League of the Little Flower Requests You to pray for the Sick and the Dead

"I beseech you therefore, brethren, through our Lord Jesus Christ and by the charity of the Holy Ghost, that you help me in your prayers for me to God." ROMANS 15:30

DECEASED

MARYLAND
Baltimore
Stephen J. Pensker

MASSACHUSETTS
Jamaica Plain
Mrs. Ellen F. Kelley
Mary T. Kelley

MICHIGAN
Royal Oak
Miss Mildred Igel

MINNESOTA
Albany
George Truth

NEBRASKA
Omaha
John Bushey

NEW YORK
Merchantville
Wm. H. O'Brien

PENNSYLVANIA
Pittsburgh
Rev. Athanius Karlin, O. M. Cap

ILL

MARYLAND
Baltimore
Mrs. Joseph Pensker

MASSACHUSETTS
Lawrence
Miss Margaret Donahue

MICHIGAN
Detroit
Margaret Horan
Mr. Henry Schulte
Ecorse
Agnes Kors

NEW YORK
Brooklyn
Miss Panico
Mapleth. L. I.
Mrs. Catherine Lynch
New York
Mrs. Rose Jordan

WISCONSIN
Barton
John Berres

CANADA
Arthur, Ont.
Mrs. Lena Hollis

Divine Heart of Jesus convert sinners, save the dying, deliver the holy souls from purgatory (Indulgence of 300 days, every time. Pius X, Nov. 6, 1906.)

You are invited to join the League of the Little Flower.

BENEFITS: 1. You are remembered every morning at Mass at the Shrine of the Little Flower.

2. You are remembered every morning at a Special Mass said for our intentions at the Tomb of Christ near Jerusalem.

OBLIGATION: Each day say the Lord's Prayer for the intention of the Little Flower. Her intention is to lead souls back to God.

PRIVILEGES: You are privileged to enclose the names of the deceased members of your family. They will be remembered each day at the Sacrifice of the Mass.

FEES: Each living member is requested to contribute one dollar a year.

The money derived from this will be used to help Father Coughlin promote his religious activities.

Mail your membership dues to Father Coughlin at Royal Oak, Mich.

Your prayers are earnestly solicited for our sick friends and for the faithful departed who have recently passed to their reward.

Wishing to take part in the activities of the League of the Little Flower, I hereby make application to become one of its members.

Herewith is enclosed _____ dollar(s) as membership fee for the League of the Little Flower for the person(s) listed below:

Name _____

Street _____

City and State _____

ISN'T THIS REVOLUTION?

Browder Tells of Soviet U.S.

Would Take Industry, Profits and Schools

NEW YORK, Nov. 12—(A. P.)—Earl Browder, general secretary of the Communist Party in the United States, expanded tonight on his reference in Boston last week to what he called America's ripeness for a "quick transition to Socialism."

He said in an interview that he "had not been dealing with the question of how to effect" transition, but rather "to showing the American people it is a desirable road."

Over his desk in Communist national headquarters, Browder outlined the new order he advocates.

Other Parties Would Go

Opposition political parties, which, he said, usually are "put out of business," probably would meet the same fate as the 13 non-Communist parties that survived revolution in Russia. "They disappeared from the political scene one by one as they took up arms against the Government," he said.

The educational system would become "adjusted to the needs of the people instead of capitalists." Communist ideologies and Religion would be purged of most of its present leaders and teachings. Religious institutions which could be "shown in public debate as not a social menace" to the new system would survive.

Would Take Over Plants

Industry, national economy, property and profits would be taken over by the Government and "expanded rapidly."

The press is "a great industry; therefore, it is impossible to think of it continuing as it is."

Aides Out After Money

A Browder aide explains the quiet of the office. "Most of the staff is out raising money. We're seeking a $150,000 fund to keep America out of war and our leaders out of jail." (Browder is free in $7,500 bail on an indictment charging him with passport irregularities.)

WHAT more than recent statements of Earl Browder is needed to convince you that stark, bloody revolution is planned for America?

Must the machine guns begin to rattle before liberty-loving citizens of this nation wake up?

Must all property be confiscated, free press and radio be suppressed, schools be communized before the people of these United States rouse themselves to action?

Must priests and nuns be slaughtered by tens of thousands as in Russia and Spain before American Christians REALIZE their predicament?

Must all that was fought for by Washington, Jefferson, Lincoln and the hosts of men and women who died for Americanism be washed out in a torrent of blood? And for what?

So that brutal, atheistic, anti-Christian savagery may possess the United States of America.

Don't the Christians of this nation care any more?

DO YOU CARE ANY MORE?

If you do care, help in the fight against Red Communism being waged week after week by Father Coughlin.

Why hold back your financial aid NOW—when revolution itself is being shouted from our public rostrums?

What good will be your money—your Federal Reserve notes—when the revolution has taken over?

They are important now while American liberty can still be saved!

There is an acute financial problem at Royal Oak. It can be cured only by the whole-hearted help of thousands of SOCIAL JUSTICE readers who have been holding back. Unless help comes, the weekly broadcasts of Father Coughlin will be most uncertain.

Regardless of the amount you can afford to contribute—do so right now—while there is yet a modicum of time to stave off the storm of blood.

Do it today—if you care!

SOCIAL JUSTICE PUBLISHING CO., INC.
Royal Oak, Michigan.

I wish to contribute to the 1939-1940 Broadcasting Fund so that Father Coughlin may continue to spread principles of genuine Americanism.

I hereby pledge the sum of $..... which I am enclosing herewith or which I will send in two equal monthly remittances on or before Nov. 28 and on or before Dec. 28.

My Name Is .....

My Address Is .....

City or Town ..... State .....

NATIONAL WEEKLY

Social Justice

Founded 1936 by Father Coughlin

NATION OBSERVING TWO DAYS OF THANKSGIVING

AMERICA HAS CHANCE TO BE TWICE GRATEFUL FOR PEACE, GOODWILL

PROCLAIMING the nation's Thanksgiving Day for November 23, the President of the United States declares:

"As a nation we are deeply grateful that in a world of turmoil we are at peace with all countries, and we especially rejoice in the strengthened bonds of our friendship with the other peoples of the Western hemisphere."

The governors of some states stood with the President; others, opposing the New Deal and all its works—including its choice of Thanksgiving — proclaimed the traditional "last Thursday," or November 30th. This lack of harmony is worse than any change in the national date.

All sorts of complications ensue. For example, in Wisconsin's state capitol some departments followed Governor Heil in his revolt against the New Deal and some stood by the President; some employes will holiday one day and others a week later.

The traditional Moline-Rock Island tri-city union Thanksgiving service cannot be held in the churches, since Illinois followed President Roosevelt and Iowa sticks with Abraham Lincoln.

As for the jumbled football schedules of the country's colleges, consult your daily newspapers.

Some American households followed the President and some of them their Governor; some of them had students home for a holiday dinner one week and other youngsters coming in a week later.

The situation is reminiscent of the early 1800s when a Boston widow, Mrs. Sarah Josepha Hale, America's first woman journalist, thought such confusion was silly and fought for 20 years to fix the nation's Thanksgiving Day. To allay her pestering insistence, President Lincoln in 1864 proclaimed the "last Thursday" in November. Customarily, this has been the fourth Thursday; this year, falling on the fifth Thursday, it curtailed the Christmas business season, so that President Roosevelt proposed an official readjustment to the "fourth Thursday."

The fact that America officially retains a day of giving thanks to a bountiful Creator is itself a thing to be thankful for. Pious Pilgrims settled this new land, God-fearing Christian gentlemen founded the Republic, and America is still, soundly and basically, a Christian nation — although our Christianity betimes falls dormant and inactive.



SARAH JOSEPHA HALE
Mother of Thanksgiving Day

READ IN THIS EDITION OF YOUR NATIONAL WEEKLY:

ON THE RED FRONT; THE STATE OF THE NATION; SIGNIFICANCE BEHIND THE NEWS!